

TUESDAY, JUNE 19, 2007

A regular meeting of the Council of the City of Burbank was held in the Council Chamber of the City Hall, 275 East Olive Avenue, on the above date. The meeting was called to order at 4:06 p.m. by Mr. Golonski, Vice Mayor.

CLOSED SESSION

Present- - - - Council Members Bric, Golonski, Gordon and Reinke.
Absent - - - - Council Member Ramos.
Also Present - Ms. Alvord, City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney; and, Mrs. Campos, City Clerk.

Oral Communications There was no response to the Mayor's invitation for oral communications on Closed Session matters at this time.

4:06 P.M. Recess The Council recessed at this time to the City Hall Basement Lunch Room/Conference Room to hold a Closed Session on the following:

Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation:

Pursuant to Govt. Code §54956.9(a)

Name of Case: Burbank-Glendale Airport Authority Noise Variance Hearing

Case No.: OAH No. L2001-110412

Brief description and nature of case: California Department of Transportation Hearing on whether noise variance should be extended.

Name of Case: Southern California Regional Rail Authority, et al. vs. Wysocki, et al.

Case No.: EC036018

Brief description and nature of case: Court Appeals arising from lawsuits filed related to the Metrolink accident on January 6, 2004.

5:02 P.M. Budget Study Session Planning Application Processes Study Session.

Present- - - - Council Members Bric, Golonski, Gordon, Reinke and Ramos.
Absent - - - - Council Members None.
Also Present - Ms. Alvord, City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney; and, Mrs. Campos, City Clerk.

Mr. Forbes, Principal Planner, Community Development

Department, reported that the purpose of the study session was to provide information on the proposed Zone Text Amendment (ZTA) that would reorganize sections of the Burbank Municipal Code dealing with planning application processes and procedures. In addition, he stated that Council Member Gordon requested that staff bring back for Council discussion information regarding the Development Review (DR) process and projects that are appealed to the Council.

Mr. Forbes reported that there are three general types of planning applications; those acted upon administratively by the Community Development Director, Planning Board and the Council. He added that with few exceptions, any decision of the Director may be appealed to the Planning Board and Council, and any decision of the Planning Board may be appealed to the Council. With a visual aid, he explained the steps followed in the planning application process until the application is processed and ultimately approved or denied. He noted that for applications approved by the Director, an approval letter is mailed to the public including any required Conditions of Approval. He added that the Director's decision may be appealed by any interested party within 15 days of the date the decision is made and if no appeal is filed, the Director's decision becomes final. He noted that if one or more appeals are filed, the Planning Board conducts a noticed public hearing to consider the application. He stated that appeals may be withdrawn until the 20th day prior to the scheduled hearing, after which time they may not be withdrawn. If all appeals are withdrawn prior to that deadline, a secondary appeal period is provided to allow a second chance.

Mr. Forbes further stated that a decision by the Planning Board may be further appealed to the Council within 15 days of the Board's decision and the Council also has the authority to call for review a decision by the Planning Board. He reported that the Council then holds a noticed public hearing in the same manner as when an appeal is filed. He noted that if no appeal is filed and the Council does not call up the Planning Board's decision, the Planning Board's decision becomes final. He mentioned that following the public hearing, the Council either adopts a resolution or ordinance to memorialize the decision on the project, depending upon the type of application. He added that in the event changes need to be made to the project, the project would have to go through a substantial conformance determination and if the changes are not in substantial conformance, the applicant is required to re-apply in order to amend the application.

With regard to reorganizing sections of the Burbank Municipal Code dealing with planning application processes and procedures, Mr. Forbes stated that the proposed ZTA seeks to make the Zoning Ordinance more user-friendly and to eliminate redundancy. He

explained that the ZTA would simplify and standardize the procedures for all applications by establishing five application processes, and all planning applications would be processed pursuant to one of the five processes. He noted that the existing general procedures for individual application types would not change but be grouped into the five categories. In addition, the ZTA would increase the internal consistency of the Zoning Ordinance, simplify the process of adding new application types in the future and facilitate the use of the recently-implemented software system that is now being used to track planning applications and manage project workload.

Mr. Forbes requested Council direction regarding the previously-proposed ZTA, as well as any additional direction regarding further consideration of changes to the DR process.

6:17 P.M. Recess	The Council recessed at this time.
Regular Meeting Reconvened in Council Chambers	The regular meeting of the Council of the City of Burbank was reconvened at 6:35 p.m. by Mrs. Ramos, Mayor.
Invocation	The invocation was given by Bob Kramer, Community Assistance Coordinator.
Flag Salute	The pledge of allegiance to the flag was led by Dennis Barlow, City Attorney.
ROLL CALL Present- - - - Absent - - - - Also Present -	Council Members Bric, Golonski, Gordon, Reinke and Ramos. Council Members None. Ms. Alvord, City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney; and, Mrs. Campos, City Clerk.
Council Comments	Dr. Gordon reported on participating in an operational tour of Bob Hope Airport and an event of the arrival of the traveling Memorial Wall at the Valhalla Memorial Park.
406 Airport Authority Report	Commissioner Brown reported on the Airport Authority meeting of June 18, 2007 at which Airport staff made a presentation on the enhancements to the Airport website. Mr. Hardymont, Director of

6/19/07

Noise and Environmental Programs, elaborated on the enhancements.

Reporting on
Closed Session

Mr. Barlow reported on the items considered by the City Council during the Closed Session meeting.

Initial Open
Public Comment
Period of Oral
Communications

Mrs. Ramos called for speakers for the initial open public comment period of oral communications at this time.

Citizen
Comment

Appearing to comment were: Olga Ford, on the Soka Gakkai International open house event; Gary Garrison on the Joslyn Adult Center karaoke program; Tracy Steinkruger, on the Relay for Life Team; Robert Caplan, requesting permit parking for the 300 Lomita Street block; Michelle Feather, on a memorial fund for former Bob Hope Airport Fire Chief; Eden Rosen, on Charter Communication rates, in support of the Probation Officer funding and a bronze art piece for the Chandler Bikeway; Esther Espinoza, reading an article; Douglas Hill, in support of permit parking for Lomita Street; LaVerne Thomas, on planning application procedures; James Schad, congratulating Mrs. Reinke and Mr. Bric and commenting on traffic signal issues; and, Tally Roth, inviting the community to an emergency preparedness meeting.

Staff
Response

Members of the Council and staff responded to questions raised.

Agenda Item
Oral
Communications

Mrs. Ramos called for speakers for the agenda item oral communications at this time.

Citizen
Comment

Appearing to comment were: Donald Pell and Robert Trainar, in support of the DeBell Clubhouse Replacement Project; Esther Espinoza, on the Probation Officer agreement; Paul Dyson, on the ordinance amending Chapter 23 of the Burbank Municipal Code and the Chandler Bikeway art piece; and, LaVerne Thomas, on the Chandler Bikeway art pieces.

Staff
Response

Members of the Council and staff responded to questions raised.

7:41 P.M.
Jt Mtg w Agency,

Mr. Hess, Assistant Financial Services Director, requested the Council, Redevelopment Agency, Housing Authority, Parking

Housing Auth,
 Parking Auth,
 Pub Fin Auth,
 and YES Fund -
 Adoption of
 FY 2007-08
 Budget and
 Citywide Fee
 Schedule

Authority, Public Financing Authority and Youth Endowment Services (YES) Fund Board adopt the Fiscal Year (FY) 2007-08 Citywide Budget, Citywide Fee Schedule and Appropriations Limit. He reported the citywide budget for FY 2007-08 is approximately \$719.8 million with the total General Fund appropriations of \$139,693,338 balanced against estimated revenue. He stated that if adopted as proposed, including the items added by the Council, the General Fund budget will be balanced with a projected amount of \$127,722 remaining at year's end. He added that the FY 2007-08 General Fund budget is approximately six percent larger than the adopted FY 2006-07 Budget. He highlighted the discussion papers including restoration of three firefighter positions, restoration of two Police Officer positions; top-to-bottom review of the Police Department; parking enforcement tools; and, education/ambassador program. He also requested clarification with regard to the \$300,000 set aside for sustainability programs.

Mr. Hess reported that during the public hearing on the proposed budget, the Council directed staff to explore the feasibility of smoothing the proposed nine percent refuse rate increase for FY 2007-08. He noted that the proposed budget includes the nine percent refuse rate increase for FY 2007-08 which would amount to an increase of \$1.81, from \$20.14 to \$21.95 per month. He noted that Lifeline customer rates will remain unchanged. He stated that the rate increase is recommended to help the Refuse Fund cover its growing operating costs and comply with legal bond coverage requirements, other legal requirements and the City's fiscal policies. He informed the Council that if the bond covenants are not met: the City's ability to issue future debt will be restricted; there will be a potential for a higher credit risk which will affect the future cost of capital and result in payment of higher interest rates; the City will be required to provide the associated disclosures; and, the City will be liable to potential litigation. He noted that reducing the rate increase to six percent reduces the monthly cost to rate payers by only 60 cents while subjecting the fund to a potential deficit. He reiterated staff's recommendation for the proposed nine percent rate increase plan which will decrease to eight percent over the next four years.

Ms. Teaford, Public Works Director, gave an overview on the drivers behind the proposed refuse rate increase from an operations perspective, which included: increase in salaries and benefits per the Memoranda of Understanding; increase in gas prices; and, costs associated with the new billing system. She noted that refuse rates were not increased between 2001 and 2004, and reiterated that no increase is proposed for Lifeline customers. She also provided a comparison of the City's refuse rates to other surrounding cities.

6/19/07

Motion It was moved by Mr. Golonski and seconded by Mrs. Reinke that "the following resolutions be passed and adopted."

FY 2007-08 Budget RESOLUTION NO. 27,484:
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADOPTING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008, AND MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR AMOUNTS BUDGETED.

FY 2007-08 Appropriations Limit RESOLUTION NO. 27,485:
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK DETERMINING AND ESTABLISHING THE CITY'S APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008.

FY 2007-08 Fee Resolution RESOLUTION NO. 27,486:
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADOPTING THE BURBANK FEE RESOLUTION, with modification of an eight percent refuse rate increase for FY 2007-2008.

FY 2007-08 Red Agency Budget Redevelopment Agency Resolution No. R-2184 entitled "A Resolution of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Burbank Adopting the Budget For Fiscal Year 2007-2008."

FY 2007-08 Housing Auth Budget Housing Authority Resolution No. H-195 entitled: "A Resolution of The Housing Authority of the City of Burbank Adopting the Budget For Fiscal Year 2007-2008."

FY 2007-08 Parking Auth Budget Parking Authority Resolution No. P-79 entitled "A Resolution of the Parking Authority of the City of Burbank Adopting the Budget For Fiscal Year 2007-2008."

FY 2007-08 Pub. Fin Auth Budget Public Financing Authority Resolution No. F-11 entitled: "A Resolution of the Public Financing Authority of the City of Burbank Adopting the Budget For Fiscal Year 2007-2008."

FY 2007-08 YES Fund Budget Youth Endowment Services Fund Board Resolution No. Y-50 entitled: "A Resolution of the Youth Endowment Services Fund of the City of Burbank Adopting the Budget For Fiscal Year 2007-2008, with direction that the \$300,000 for sustainability programs go into a holding account."

- Adopted The resolutions were adopted by the following vote:
- Ayes: Council Members Bric, Golonski, Reinke and Ramos.
 Noes: Council Member Gordon.
 Absent: Council Members None.
- Motion It was moved by Mr. Golonski and seconded by Dr. Gordon that "the following items on the consent calendar be approved as submitted."
- Minutes
Approved The minutes for the regular meetings of January 9, January 16, January 23 and January 30, 2007 were approved as submitted.
- 404 RESOLUTION NO. 27,487:
907 A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
Agt w LA County APPROVING THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
for the Prevention BURBANK AND THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES TO PROVIDE
and Intervention A PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAM (PIP).
Program
- Adopted The consent calendar was adopted by the following vote:
- Ayes: Council Members Bric (except the minutes), Golonski,
 Gordon, Reinke and Ramos.
 Noes: Council Members None.
 Absent: Council Members None.
 Abstain: Council Member Bric (on the minutes only).
- 8:47 P.M.
Recess The Council recessed at this time. The meeting reconvened at 8:53 p.m. with all members present.
- 1301-3
Auth to Proceed
with Const of the
DeBell
Clubhouse
Replacement
Project –
BS No. 1153 Ms. Teaford, Public Works Director, requested approval of various actions necessary to begin construction of the DeBell Clubhouse. She stated that the existing Clubhouse: is functionally obsolete by today's clubhouse operational and functional standards; does not meet current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards for accessibility or structural integrity for earthquake standards; does not have a fire suppression system other than fire extinguishers and an American National Standards Institute (ANSI) system for its kitchen equipment; is not energy efficient; and, the existing surface parking lot is in poor condition and does not meet current Burbank Municipal Code (BMC) standards. She reported that the decision

to replace the existing clubhouse and surface parking lot with new facilities was made prior to June 2002 and has been re-affirmed several times since then, given the facility's continued declining condition.

Ms. Teaford elaborated on the project design elements which include a new two-story 13,760 square-foot clubhouse, new parking lot for 79 vehicles, ADA accessibility from the new parking lot, a four-way stop installed at Walnut Avenue and DeBell Drive, street and drainage improvements. She also elaborated on the interior program which includes a bar and grill, community room, restrooms with lounge areas, commercial kitchen and administrative support offices, stairs and elevators, pro-shop, starter area office and storage workroom, cart storage and maintenance support areas, exterior terraces and a partially-covered patio.

Ms. Teaford informed the Council that two bids were received on the project and Summit Builders of Lakewood, California submitted the lowest bid of \$6,776,000. She added that an investigation of Summit Builders' current and local project experience was performed and the results were favorable. Further investigation indicated that three or more bids were received by Summit Builders from their subcontractors for all primary trades, representing approximately 82 percent of the construction bid. She noted that efforts to attain a comparison to recent construction costs for comparable public golf club houses did not identify any really similar publicly-constructed facilities.

Ms. Teaford reported that the current total projected cost was \$9.4 million, which reflects a 17.5 percent increase over the \$8 million amount presented to the Council on July 25, 2006. She stated that the primary causes for the increase include: increased costs for architectural and engineering; construction management; temporary power, water and sewer connections and consumption; and, construction and project contingency.

With regard to funding, Ms. Teaford reported that the Golf Fund had originally programmed \$6.5 million for the project and in March 2006, the Council authorized a \$1.5 million advance from the General Fund to the Golf Enterprise Fund to be repaid over a ten-year period with principal and interest payments commencing in January 2008. She stated that the two funding sources represent the \$8 million previously projected cost. She added that with the construction bids indicating a cost of \$9.4 million, the Oversight Committee recommended the Council authorize a \$1.4 million advance from the General Fund for a total loan amount of \$2.9 million. She noted that if approved, the project would begin construction in July 2007 and be ready for occupancy in September 2008.

Motion It was moved by Mr. Golonski and seconded by Mr. Bric that “the following resolution be passed and adopted.”

1301-3 RESOLUTION NO. 27,488:
Auth to Proceed A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
with Const of the BURBANK APPROVING AND ADOPTING CONTRACT
DeBell DOCUMENTS, PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AND
Clubhouse DETERMINING THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER,
Replacement ACCEPTING THE BID, AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A
Project – CONTRACT FOR THE DEBELL CLUBHOUSE REPLACEMENT
BS No. 1153 PROJECT (BID SCHEDULE 1153) TO SUMMIT BUILDERS;
AUTHORIZING AN ADVANCE OF \$1.4 MILLION FROM THE
GENERAL FUND TO THE GOLF FUND; AND, AMENDING THE
2006-2007 FISCAL YEAR BUDGET TO PROVIDE \$6,222,283.60
FOR THE PROJECT.

Adopted The resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Bric, Golonski, Gordon, Reinke and
Ramos.
Noes: Council Members None.
Absent: Council Members None.

1705-1 Mr. Johnson, Assistant Public Works Director/Traffic Engineer,
Continuation of reported that on May 29, 2007, staff presented an update on
the Downtown Downtown Parking Management. He noted that due to the length
parking of the Council meeting on that date, the Council directed that the
Management discussion regarding the item be postponed to a future date. He
Update requested Council direction regarding the presentation.

Mr. Golonski requested an update on the Council’s request to look into utilizing a private service on a contractual basis for downtown enforcement, similar to the City of Pasadena which had a positive experience. He also stated that the Council was not interested in imposing a charge for all structures in downtown, but noted that in the event a fee was to be imposed it would only be in the most popular structure or those with the highest demand. He also requested the status on the ability to acquire a parking structure that the City is currently leasing.

Mr. Johnson responded that the lease payment was for a private parcel on which a portion of the courthouse structure was built. He added that the lease will be up this year and there was a plan in place to purchase the parcel with funds that have been accumulating since the project was built; however, the funds are

not associated with the Parking Authority. He also stated that charging for the most popular structures was problematic because there is a need to install gates which is a fairly expensive proposition. He added that it would be much easier to charge for on-street parking with meters for a low cost.

Mrs. Reinke inquired as to whether staff has contacted the cities of Pasadena or Santa Monica to find out the process they used in order to be successful. She noted the missed opportunity for charging for parking in places such as the courthouse parking structure. She was not supportive of placing meters on San Fernando Boulevard but suggested that staff look into some programs in the larger parking structures that are heavily used, and determine what the cost is and how soon the cost can be recouped.

Mr. Bric inquired as to how many parking kiosks would be needed on San Fernando Boulevard. He stated that when the item was considered by the Traffic and Transportation Committee, he voted against parking meters but was having second thoughts about the issue because too many people were taking advantage of the parking.

Mrs. Reinke stated that if paid parking was instituted in all structures and the City was able to implement additional parking enforcement on San Fernando Boulevard, revenue can be made fast without capital outlay. She was still against parking meters on San Fernando Boulevard and stated that revenue can be made from citations and gated parking structures.

Mr. Johnson responded that enforcing the limits more rigorously will initially yield some income but as individuals learn that they cannot park longer than allowed, the revenue will drop by approximately half.

Dr. Gordon inquired as to the source of funding for the parking structures. He requested that staff explore using Redevelopment Agency resources.

Mr. Golonski suggested pursuing increased enforcement to generate additional revenues via a contract on an annual basis. He was also willing to consider a pilot program for charging in one prime structure, preferably Structure A.

Mrs. Reinke agreed with Mr. Golonski's summary. She noted the need for the Parking Authority to be self-funded and urged that the courthouse parking be considered for the pilot program as it is always full in addition to Structures G and M.

Mr. Bric inquired as to the input from the merchants. Mr. Johnson stated that the Downtown Parking Management Committee reluctantly agreed to paid parking but recommended that the deficit be made up from the General Fund.

Mrs. Ramos requested that staff return with an update on the ability to enhance parking enforcement on a contractual basis. She noted consensus that enforcement is an important issue. She agreed with Mr. Golonski's suggestion that the prime spaces need to be managed. She requested the status of the smart signage program and requested statistical information on employee parking permits being issued. She also inquired if outreach has been made to the business owners.

Dr. Gordon inquired if there may be a mechanism to assess a parking fee to the downtown business owners based on the number of commuting employees and to designate specific parking areas for the employees.

Motion

It was moved by Mr. Golonski, seconded by Mrs. Reinke and carried "to direct staff to: provide an analysis on utilizing the service used by the City of Pasadena for enhanced enforcement of the existing parking regulations in the downtown on a contractual basis; provide an analysis of other parking enforcement tools; consider implementing a pilot program of charging in structures A, G and M; look at additional revenue generated from the enhanced enforcement and consider a contribution to the Parking Authority; and, look at the funds that have been accumulated to purchase the parcel that is part of the courthouse parking structure and evaluate if there is an alternate funding mechanism that could free up those funds to be utilized for the immediate capital needs."

Mrs. Ramos also requested information on businesses that have purchased employee parking permits and any public outreach efforts that have been made.

1705-2
1601-1
Additional Art
Piece on
Chandler
Bikeway
Step 1

Mr. Hansen, Park, Recreation and Community Services Director, reported that on May 8, 2007, Mayor Ramos requested a discussion on the potential of developing another public art installation on the Chandler Bikeway. He noted that currently, there are two bronze sculptures installed along the bikeway; The Wagon Pull which was dedicated in December 2005 and Family Outing which was dedicated in May 2007. He stated that there are other potential installation sites available. He noted that there is approximately \$454,000 in the fund and requested Council direction with regard to the matter.

The Council noted and filed the report.

1502
206
Ord Amend
Chapter 23 –
Public
Transportation

Mr. Johnson, Assistant Public Works Director/Traffic Engineer, requested Council approval of an ordinance amending Chapter 23, Public Transportation, of the Burbank Municipal Code (BMC) to authorize the Traffic and Transportation Committee (T&TC) to establish a surcharge for extraordinary or unusual costs incurred in providing specialized transportation services. He noted that currently, the BMC requires that a taxicab fare cannot be greater than that charged in the City of Los Angeles (LA) for a similar trip. The section also requires that taxicab rates of fare must be consistent throughout the City. He noted that requests have been received from taxi cab companies to charge a differential rate for trips from Bob Hope Airport in order to fund additional services for Airport passengers. He stated that the proposed changes in the BMC retain the consistency of base fares within the region based on LA rates. However, the changes will allow the Traffic and Transportation Committee, which currently regulates the number and operating rules of Burbank licensed taxis, to establish surcharges as necessary for specialized operations.

Ordinance

It was moved by Mr. Golonski and seconded by Mr. Bric that "the following ordinance be introduced and read for the first time by title only and be passed to the second reading."

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK AMENDING SECTION 23-129 OF THE BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO RATES OF FARE FOR TAXICAB SERVICE .

Introduced

The ordinance was introduced by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Bric, Golonski, Gordon, Reinke and Ramos.
Noes: Council Members None.
Absent: Council Member None.

1100
Ordinance
Outlining the Red
Agency's
Eminent Domain
Program, in

Mr. Lynch, Senior Redevelopment Project Manager, Community Development Department, requested the Council introduce an ordinance to satisfy the requirements of State Senate Bill (SB) 53 regarding the Burbank Redevelopment Agency's (Agency) Eminent Domain Program. He stated that the new measure requires the legislative body of agencies with existing redevelopment plans to

Compliance with SB 53 adopt an ordinance by July 1, 2007 that describes their program to acquire real property by eminent domain. Also, redevelopment plans adopted after January 1, 2007 are required to describe the agency's program to acquire real property by eminent domain. He stated that Burbank has no new redevelopment project area that is being contemplated; however, there are four existing redevelopment plans. He noted that for the West Olive and Golden State Project Areas, the right to use eminent domain has expired and the two project areas with eminent domain authority are the City Center (until 2011) and South San Fernando Project Areas (until 2009). He stated that the proposed ordinance reflects these limits. He also updated the Council on potential State legislation that could affect the Agency's ability to use eminent domain.

Ordinance It was moved by Mr. Golonski and seconded by Mr. Bric that "the following ordinance be introduced and read for the first time by title only and be passed to the second reading."

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK DESCRIBING THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF BURBANK PROGRAM TO ACQUIRE REAL PROPERTY BY EMINENT DOMAIN AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 33342.7 OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE.

Introduced The ordinance was introduced by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Bric, Golonski, Gordon, Reinke and Ramos.
Noes: Council Members None.
Absent: Council Member None.

10:59 P.M.
Reconvene
Agency, Housing
Auth. Parking
Auth, Pub Fin
Auth and YES
Fund meetings The Redevelopment Agency, Housing Authority, Parking Authority, Public Financing Authority and Youth Endowment Service Fund Board meetings were reconvened at this time for public comment.

11:00 P.M.
Memorial
Adjournment There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned at 11:00 p.m. in memory of Betty Jane Rodriguez.

6/19/07

Margarita Campos, CMC
City Clerk

APPROVED JANUARY 8, 2008

Mayor of the Council
of the City of Burbank