| ANNOTATED COUNCIL AGENDA – CITY OF BURBANK | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| AIDDODT AU | TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2007 THORITY MEETING REPORT: | ACTION | |
| 1. | AIRPORT AUTHORITY COMMISSIONER REPORT: | | |
| 406 | Recommendation: | There was no report given. | |
| PUBLIC HEAR | Receive report. | | |
| 2. 403 | ABANDONED SHOPPING CART ORDINANCE: | Introduced 5-0 | |
| | Recommendation: Introduction of proposed ordinance entitled: AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADDING SECTION 31-1121 TO CHAPTER 31 OF THE BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO SHOPPING CARTS. | with an effective date of six months after adoption and a revision to the ordinance that the five limit abandoned shopping cart threshold be set by resolution and revisited within one year after the effective date. | |
| 3. 1702 | PROJECT NO. 2006-26 – ZONE TEXT AMENDMENT: REORGANIZATION OF BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE PLANNING APPLICATION PROCEDURES: | | |
| | Recommendation: | Public Hearing closed but Council deliberation | |
| | Introduction of proposed ordinance entitled: AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK AMENDING SECTIONS OF THE BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO PLANNING APPLICATION PROCEDURES (CHAPTERS 27 AND 31). | continued to the regular agenda of March 27, 2007. 5-0 | |
| 4. 1703 602 | CONTINUATION OF APPEAL OF PROJECT NO. 2005-86, DEVELOPMENT REVIEW – 401 Delaware Road: | Adopted 4-0 | |
| | Recommendation: | Ramos Recused with an additional | |
| 27,438 | Adoption of proposed resolution entitled: A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK APPROVING PROJECT NO. 2005-86 DEVELOPMENT REVIEW (401 DELAWARE ROAD). | condition to limit the gross residential square footage to no more than 15,000 sq. ft. and to allow the ingress and egress access from Glenoaks Blvd. provided the Traffic Engineer finds it an acceptable option. | |
| 5. | NG WITH THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY: AFFORDABLE HOUSING AGREEMENT - 261 WEST VERDUGO | | |
| 1208 | AVENUE: Recommendation: | See last page | |
| | Staff recommends the Council discuss the matter and give direction as desired. | | |

| ANNOTATED COUNCIL AGENDA – CITY OF BURBANK | | | |
|----------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2007 | | | |
| : (Items 6 through 8) | | | |
| G CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 2006-125. VARIA | | | |

ACTION

| , , | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| CONSENT CALENDAR: (Items 6 through 8) | | | |
| DENYING CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 2006-125, VARIANCE | | | |
| | | | |
| WARRET). | | | |
| Recommendation: | | | |
| Adoption of proposed resolution entitled: | Adopted | | |
| A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK DENYING CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 2006-105, VARIANCE AND DEVELOPMENT REVIEW NO. 2006-105 (WHOLE FOODS MARKET). | 4-1 Vander Borght No | | |
| REQUEST BY THE BURBANK ARMENIAN CULTURAL FOUNDATION TO CONDUCT A CANDIDATE FORUM: | The Council approved the request with | | |
| Recommendation: | conditions that all four candidates be able to | | |
| Staff recommends the Council consider the request from Mr. Stepan Boyaijan. Chair of the Burbank Armenian Cultural Foundation, and | participate and the public be allowed to attend | | |
| decide if moving ahead with such a forum utilizing the Council | 4-1 | | |
| Chamber and Channel 6 is timely and appropriate. | Golonski No | | |
| CONSIDERATION OF INSTALLING SECURITY CAMERAS IN THE | | | |
| BALLOT PROCESSING CENTER. | | | |
| Recommendation: | Noted and filed. | | |
| Staff recommends the Council consider the matter and give direction as desired. | noted and filed. | | |
| | DENYING CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 2006-125, VARIANCE AND DEVELOPMENT REVIEW NO. 2006-105 (WHOLE FOODS MARKET): Recommendation: Adoption of proposed resolution entitled: A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK DENYING CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 2006-105, VARIANCE AND DEVELOPMENT REVIEW NO. 2006-105 (WHOLE FOODS MARKET). REQUEST BY THE BURBANK ARMENIAN CULTURAL FOUNDATION TO CONDUCT A CANDIDATE FORUM: Recommendation: Staff recommends the Council consider the request from Mr. Stepan Boyajian, Chair of the Burbank Armenian Cultural Foundation, and decide if moving ahead with such a forum utilizing the Council Chamber and Channel 6 is timely and appropriate. CONSIDERATION OF INSTALLING SECURITY CAMERAS IN THE BALLOT PROCESSING CENTER: Recommendation: | | |

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AGREEMENT - 261 WEST VERDUGO AVENUE:

Staff was directed to notify the BHC to cease all work on the property and take every step possible to rescind the transaction. Staff was also directed to cease any additional relocation efforts as long as they will not be detrimental to the tenants, and draft a letter for the Council's signature, indicating the Council's sentiments regarding the transaction and that since the Council did not have all the information at the time of approval, the best remedy is to reverse the transaction with the cooperation of the seller and seller's agent. If the BHC is unable to rescind the transaction the matter should be brought back to the Council.