



COUNCIL AGENDA - CITY OF BURBANK  
TUESDAY, JUNE 20, 2006  
5:30 P.M.

**CITY COUNCIL CHAMBER – 275 EAST OLIVE AVENUE**

This agenda contains a summary of each item of business which the Council may discuss or act on at this meeting. The complete staff report and all other written documentation relating to each item on this agenda are on file in the office of the City Clerk and the reference desks at the three libraries and are available for public inspection and review. If you have any question about any matter on the agenda, please call the office of the City Clerk at (818) 238-5851. This facility is disabled accessible. Auxiliary aids and services are available for individuals with speech, vision or hearing impairments (48-hour notice is required). Please contact the ADA Coordinator at (818) 238-5021 voice or (818) 238-5035 TDD with questions or concerns.

CLOSED SESSION ORAL COMMUNICATIONS IN COUNCIL CHAMBER:

Comments by the public on Closed Session items only. These comments will be limited to **three** minutes.

For this segment, a **PINK** card must be completed and presented to the City Clerk.

CLOSED SESSION IN CITY HALL BASEMENT LUNCH ROOM/CONFERENCE ROOM:

a. Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation:

Pursuant to Govt. Code §54956.9(a)

**Name of Case:** Dresser v. City of Burbank

**Case No.:** EC040122

**Brief description and nature of case:** Trip and fall at the Starlight Bowl.

b. Conference with Labor Negotiator:

Pursuant to Govt. Code §54957.6

**Name of the Agency Negotiator:** Management Services Director/Judie Sarquiz.

**Name of Organization Representing Employee:** Burbank Firefighters Association.

**Summary of Labor Issues to be Negotiated:** Contracts and Retirement Issues.

When the Council reconvenes in open session, the Council may make any required disclosures regarding actions taken in Closed Session or adopt any appropriate resolutions concerning these matters.

**6:30 P.M.**

INVOCATION:

The Courts have concluded that sectarian prayer as part of City Council meetings is not permitted under the Constitution.

FLAG SALUTE:

ROLL CALL:

RECOGNITION:            GIRLS BASKETBALL TEAMS.

PROCLAMATION:        CHILDHOOD CANCER AWARENESS WEEK.

PRESENTATION:        2007 ROSE PARADE FLOAT RENDERING.

COUNCIL COMMENTS: (Including reporting on Council Committee Assignments)

INTRODUCTION OF ADDITIONAL AGENDA ITEMS:

At this time additional items to be considered at this meeting may be introduced. As a general rule, the Council may not take action on any item which does not appear on this agenda. However, the Council may act if an emergency situation exists or if the Council finds that a need to take action arose subsequent to the posting of the agenda. Govt. Code §54954.2(b).

AIRPORT AUTHORITY MEETING REPORT:

1. AIRPORT AUTHORITY COMMISSIONER REPORT:

At the request of the Burbank representatives to the Airport Authority, an oral report will be made to the City Council following each meeting of the Authority.

The main focus of this report will be issues which were on the Airport Authority meeting agenda of June 19, 2006. Other Airport-related issues may also be discussed during this presentation.

Recommendation:

Receive report.

6:30 P.M. PUBLIC HEARING:

2. ORDERING THE FORMATION OF OLIVE AVENUE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 1 AND THE REMOVAL OF ALL POLES, OVERHEAD WIRES AND ASSOCIATED OVERHEAD STRUCTURES LOCATED THEREIN:

Staff is requesting the Council adopt a resolution ordering formation of the Olive Avenue Underground Utility District No. 1 (UUD No.1) and the removal of all poles, overhead wires and associated overhead structures located therein. The Council has directed staff to: leverage its \$360,000 per year within the Burbank Water and Power

(BWP) budget for aesthetically-driven undergrounding; identify view corridors along major streets where undergrounding would have maximum impact; and, use the mechanism of UUDs so that other utilities must underground their lines when the City undergrounds its utilities' overhead lines. Staff has identified two street segments where undergrounding would have a strong visual impact: Olive Avenue, including curbs and sidewalks, from 30 feet west of the Western Flood Control Channel (near Flower Street) to 30 feet west of Victory Boulevard; and, Lake Street, including curbs and sidewalks, from 20 feet south of Olive Avenue to Magnolia Boulevard.

On May 30, 2006, the Council adopted Resolution No. 27,250, which approved the Map and Report of the Public Works Director for proposed UUD No. 1; and, Resolution No. 27,251, which gave notice of its intention to create and form UUD No. 1, directed that required notices be posted and published, and set a Public Hearing for June 20, 2006.

The City and three communications utilities, AT&T, Charter Communications and Verizon, will be undergrounding their lines within UUD No.1. The utilities agreed to use joint trenching to reduce construction costs and to seek competitive bids for the substructure installation (duct banks, pull boxes, maintenance vaults) under the City's purchasing rules and procedures. Also, each utility would do its own cable and customer connection work at its own expense; and, remove its own overhead conductors and related facilities, except that BWP would remove any jointly-owned poles.

BWP and the utilities also agreed to divide the undergrounding work into two phases that span a total of three years (June 20, 2006 to May 30, 2009): Phase 1 would include all street segments except Lake Street north of Orange Grove Avenue; and, Phase 2 would be along Lake Street from Orange Grove Avenue to Magnolia Boulevard, and would include any underground work in Magnolia Boulevard itself. This phased approach would spread the cost over the next three Fiscal Years (2006-07, 2007-08 and 2008-09) and would allow BWP to better coordinate UUD No. 1 undergrounding activities with its station construction activities.

The estimated costs for Phase 1 and Phase 2, from detail design through street restoration and removal of overhead facilities, breakdown by utility as follows:

<b>Utility</b>	<b>Phase 1</b>	<b>Phase 2</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
BWP (Fund 496)	\$2,451,000	\$570,000	\$3,021,000
Street Lighting (Fund 129)	\$ 240,000	\$100,000	\$ 340,000
AT&T	\$ 107,380	\$125,000	\$ 232,380
Charter	\$ 371,750	\$120,000	\$ 491,750
Verizon	\$ 99,180	\$ 0	\$ 99,180
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,269,310</b>	<b>\$915,000</b>	<b>\$4,184,310</b>

Because the extent of joint trenching is yet to be determined, current cost estimates do not reflect joint trenching.

Staff finds that: forming UUD No. 1 would not have an adverse impact on BWP (Fund 496). The electrical undergrounding work would be funded within BWP's existing funding for aesthetic undergrounding, supplemented by previously-identified capital improvement activities;

Forming UUD No. 1 would not have an adverse impact on the City's Street Lighting Fund (Fund 129). The street lighting undergrounding work would be funded within the existing funding for street lighting;

Forming UUD No. 1 would not have an adverse impact on the General Fund, including the Public Works Department. The affected utilities would bear the costs of street restoration because the affected street segments were not scheduled for resurfacing;

Forming UUD No. 1 would have minimal adverse impact on directly-affected customers, as they would not have to bear the costs of conversion to underground service; and,

Forming UUD No. 1 enables the City to leverage BWP's planned capital improvement activities into an opportunity to beautify highly-visible segments of the Olive Avenue and Lake Street corridors.

Recommendation:

Adoption of proposed resolution entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ORDERING FORMATION OF OLIVE AVENUE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 1 AND THE REMOVAL OF ALL POLES, OVERHEAD WIRES AND ASSOCIATED OVERHEAD STRUCTURES LOCATED THEREIN.

REPORTING ON CLOSED SESSION:

**INITIAL OPEN PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:** (Two minutes on any matter concerning City Business.)

There are four segments of Oral Communications during the Council Meeting. The first precedes the Closed Session items, the second and third segments precede the main part of the City Council's business (but follow announcements and public hearings), and the fourth is at the end of the meeting following all other City business.

**Closed Session Oral Communications.** During this period of oral communications, the public may comment only on items listed on the Closed Session Agenda(s). A **PINK** card must be completed and presented to the City Clerk. Comments will be limited to **three** minutes.

**Initial Open Public Comment Period of Oral Communications.** During this period of Oral Communications, the public may comment on any matter concerning City Business. A **BLUE** card must be completed and presented to the City Clerk. NOTE: Any person

speaking during this segment may not speak during the third period of Oral Communications. Comments will be limited to **two** minutes.

**Agenda Item Oral Communications.** This segment of Oral Communications immediately follows the first period, but is limited to comments on action items on the agenda for this meeting. For this segment, a **YELLOW** card must be completed and presented to the City Clerk. Comments will be limited to **four** minutes.

**Final Open Public Comment Period of Oral Communications.** This segment of oral communications follows the conclusion of agenda items at the end of the meeting. The public may comment at this time on any matter concerning City Business. NOTE: Any member of the public speaking at the Initial Open Public Comment Period of Oral Communications may not speak during this segment. For this segment, a **GREEN** card must be completed and presented to the City Clerk. Comments will be limited to **two** minutes.

**City Business.** City business is defined as any matter that is under the jurisdiction of the City Council. Although other topics may be of interest to some people, if those topics are not under City Council jurisdiction, they are not City business and may not be discussed during Oral Communications.

**Videotapes/Audiotapes.** Videotapes or audiotapes may be presented by any member of the public at any period of Oral Communications or at any public hearing. Such tapes may not exceed the time limit of the applicable Oral Communications period or any public comment period during a public hearing. The playing time for the tape shall be counted as part of the allowed speaking time of that member of the public during that period.

Videotapes must be delivered to the Public Information Office by no later than 10:00 a.m. on the morning of the Council meeting in a format compatible with the City's video equipment. Neither videotapes nor audiotapes will be reviewed for content or edited by the City prior to the meeting, but it is suggested that the tapes not include material that is slanderous, pornographic, demeaning to any person or group of people, an invasion of privacy of any person, or inclusive of material covered by copyright.

Printed on the videocassette cover should be the name of the speaker, the period of oral communication the tape is to be played, and the total running time of the segment. The Public Information Office is not responsible for "cueing up" tapes, rewinding tapes, or fast forwarding tapes. To prevent errors, there should be ten seconds of blank tape at the beginning and end of the segment to be played. Additionally, the speaker should provide the first sentence on the tape as the "in cue" and the last sentence as the "out cue".

As with all Oral Communications, videotapes and audiotapes are limited to the subject matter jurisdiction of the City and may be declared out of order by the Mayor.

**Disruptive Conduct.** The Council requests that you observe the order and decorum of our Council Chamber by turning off or setting to vibrate all cellular telephones and pagers, and that you refrain from making personal, impertinent, or slanderous remarks. Boisterous and disruptive behavior while the Council is in session, and the display of signs in a manner which violates the rights of others or prevents others from watching or fully participating in the Council meeting, is a violation of our Municipal Code and any person who engages in such conduct can be ordered to leave the Council Chamber by the Mayor.

Once an individual is requested to leave the Council Chamber by the Mayor, that individual may not return to the Council Chamber for the remainder of the meeting. BMC §2-216(b).

Individuals standing in the Council Chamber will be required to take a seat. Also, no materials shall be placed in the aisles in order to keep the aisles open and passable. BMC §2-217(b).

Your participation in City Council meetings is welcome and your courtesy will be appreciated.

COUNCIL AND STAFF RESPONSE TO OPEN PUBLIC COMMENT AND AGENDA ITEM PERIOD OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:

**AGENDA ITEM ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:** (Four minutes on Action Agenda items only.)

COUNCIL AND STAFF RESPONSE TO AGENDA ITEM ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:

JOINT MEETING WITH THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, HOUSING AUTHORITY, PARKING AUTHORITY AND YOUTH ENDOWMENT SERVICES FUND BOARD:

3. ADOPTION OF FISCAL YEAR 2006-07 ANNUAL BUDGET, CITYWIDE FEE SCHEDULE AND APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT:

The purpose of this report is to request that the Council, Redevelopment Agency Board, Housing Authority, Parking Authority and Youth Endowment Services (YES) Fund Board adopt the Fiscal Year (FY) 2006-07 Citywide Budget, Citywide Fee Schedule and Appropriations Limit.

On May 2, 2006, staff presented a preliminary overview of the Proposed FY 2006-07 budget, along with the Five-Year Forecast. The Proposed Budget documents were distributed on May 4, 2006 for the Council's review prior to their annual May Goal Setting Workshop held on May 6, 2006.

Budget Study Sessions were held on May 2, May 9, May 16, May 23 and May 30, 2006. The purpose of these sessions was to give the Council an opportunity to review each department's budget, review proposed fee increases and make modifications to the budget. Additionally, on June 6, 2006, the Annual Public Hearing for the Proposed Budget was held.

Notable changes made to the Proposed Budget by the Council during the study sessions and the Public Hearing affecting recurring expenditures included restoring two frozen police officer positions, adding two police cadet positions, a forensic specialist and a kennel attendant, Citywide training, and ramping up for an enhanced Burbank Bus circulator. The Council also agreed to set aside an additional \$1 million for infrastructure maintenance and repairs.

The following chart provides a Citywide snapshot of the resources and appropriations for FY 2006-07:

<b>FUND/FUND GROUP</b>	<b>PROPOSED RESOURCES</b>	<b>PROPOSED APPROPRIATIONS</b>
General Fund	\$ 132,600,975	\$ 132,543,894
Special Revenue Funds (incl. Cap. Projects Fund)	22,372,512	17,083,481
Internal Services Funds	31,888,337	30,100,933
Water Reclamation & Sewer	19,335,103	19,335,103
Golf Fund	3,118,581	3,118,581
Water and Electric (BWP)	385,042,247	385,042,247
Refuse Collection and Disposal	13,360,467	13,360,467
Redevelopment Agency	48,601,033	43,941,530
Housing Authority	8,492,510	8,492,510
Parking Authority	1,061,502	987,616
<b>TOTAL ALL FUNDS</b>	<b>\$ 665,873,267</b>	<b>\$ 654,006,362</b>

The changes directed by the Council at the Public Hearing on June 6, 2006 had no impact to the overall General Fund Appropriations for FY 2006-07. Total General Fund resources are projected to be \$132,600,975 and total appropriations are expected to be \$132,543,984. As always, staff will continue to provide the Council with periodic financial reports and updates throughout the fiscal year.

Recommendation:

Adoption of proposed Council resolutions entitled:

1. (4/5 vote required)  
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADOPTING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006-2007, AND MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR AMOUNTS BUDGETED.
2. (4/5 vote required)  
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK DETERMINING AND ESTABLISHING THE CITY'S APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006-2007.
3. A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADOPTING THE BURBANK FEE RESOLUTION.

Adoption of proposed Redevelopment Agency resolution entitled:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADOPTING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006-2007.

Adoption of proposed Housing Authority resolution entitled:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADOPTING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006-2007.

Adoption of proposed Parking Authority resolution entitled:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE PARKING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADOPTING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006-2007.

Adoption of proposed Youth Endowment Services Fund Board resolution entitled:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE YOUTH ENDOWMENT SERVICES FUND OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADOPTING THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006-2007.

RECESS the Redevelopment Agency, Housing Authority and Youth Endowment Services Fund Board meetings to continue the City Council and Parking Authority meetings.

JOINT MEETING WITH THE PARKING AUTHORITY:

4. PROPERTY-BASED BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT-MAGNOLIA PARK:

In September 2004, staff presented the Magnolia Park Action Plan (Action Plan) that included the results from five survey groups, a 3,000-resident survey and input from the Magnolia Park Community Advisory Committee. The Action Plan findings recommended numerous improvements and programs such as retail leasing, parking, promotions and events, and other miscellaneous improvements. These various activities were recommended to be funded by a proposed Property-Based Business Improvement District (P-BID).

A Steering Committee (Committee) was subsequently formed to work with staff and Downtown Resources to develop the Magnolia Park Management District Plan. The Committee met monthly and numerous property owner meetings were conducted to develop a draft management plan. Three public, large-group meetings were conducted with property owners to share the draft plan and receive feedback.

A final District Plan was made available to the property owners as well as a bullet point brochure outlining the improvements in the plan. Two public meetings were held in the petition drive. In addition, a letter with the accompanying petition was sent to the property owners on two occasions.

Property owners representing more than 50 percent of the proposed assessment have signed a petition to initiate the special assessment proceedings.



The proposed P-BID annual budget is as follows:

Parking Improvements	\$ 67,500
Maintenance	\$ 57,500
Promotion, Advertising, Events and Business Recruitment	\$ 55,000
Advocacy and Administration	\$ 65,000
Contingency Reserve	<u>\$ 5,000</u>
Total	\$250,000

The City is being asked to consider initiating the proceedings for formation of the P-BID; therefore, there will be no fiscal impact at this time. Should the Council approve the proposed P-BID at the actual hearing, the Parking Authority would be assessed \$1,807 per year for five years, or a total of \$9,035 for the parking lots it owns in the District. In addition, per a license agreement with the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP), the Parking Authority is required to pay their assessment for a property that the Parking Authority uses as a public parking lot in the amount of \$ 1,518 per year or \$7,590 over five years. Also, staff is in the process of working with the LADWP on leasing a second property for a public parking lot. The terms and potential partnership with the P-BID are still to be determined; however, like the other agreement with LADWP, it is anticipated that the terms will be on a “triple net basis”, thus the Parking Authority would be responsible for this assessment as well. However, the proposed transaction with the P-BID may allow this cost to be paid by the P-BID. It is estimated that the annual assessment for this property will be \$4,860.

A comprehensive strategy for improvements for the Magnolia Park area will require a broad level of property and business owner support, including a dedicated funding source to be successfully implemented. The proposed P-BID offers a voice to owners and businesses, backed up with funding to support a vital retail area.

Recommendation:

Adoption of proposed Council resolution entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF INTENTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK TO FORM THE MAGNOLIA PARK PROPERTY AND BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT PURSUANT TO THE PROPERTY AND BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT LAW OF 1994.

Adoption of proposed Parking Authority resolution entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE PARKING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF BURBANK AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO VOTE IN FAVOR OF A MAGNOLIA PARK PROPERTY AND BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT PURSUANT TO THE PROPERTY AND BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT LAW OF 1994.

RECESS the Parking Authority meeting to continue the City Council meeting.

CONSENT CALENDAR: (Items 5 through 7)

The following items may be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless a Council Member so requests, in which event the item will be removed from the consent calendar and considered in its normal sequence on the agenda. A **roll call** vote is required for the consent calendar.

5. MINUTES:

Approval of minutes for the adjourned meeting of May 6, 2006 and the regular meetings of May 9, May 16 and May 23, 2006.

Recommendation:

Approve as submitted.

6. AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF CALIFORNIA USED OIL RECYCLING BLOCK GRANT APPLICATIONS FOR FISCAL YEARS 2006-07 THROUGH 2011-12 FOR THE BURBANK RECYCLE CENTER OIL RECYCLING PROGRAM:

Staff is requesting Council approval to apply for a California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) Used Oil Recycling Block Grant for Fiscal Years (FY) 2006-07 through 2011-12. Used oil recycling block grant funds are intended for the collection of used oil and oil filters. The Burbank Recycle Center (BRC) has been collecting used motor oil for recycling since 1982. Last year, approximately 18,000 gallons of used motor oil and over 10 tons of oil filters were collected for recycling. Eligibility is contingent upon meeting certain CIWMB requirements such as hours of operation, notification to the public of its used oil collection/recycling program, oil filter recycling, advertising and public education.

State funding for the City's used oil collection/recycling program benefits the Recycle Center and the City's waste reduction efforts by:

- promoting the City's recycling programs while promoting its used motor oil and oil filter programs;
- financing improvements to the Center's used oil facility;
- maintaining and enhancing a convenient buyback/drop-off location for the proper recycling of used oil (oil filters and anti-freeze);
- reducing illegal disposal of oil; and,
- encouraging the environmental protection of storm drains, groundwater and waterways.

The CIWMB requires that applications be received by June 1, 2006. A resolution that authorizes applications for five fiscal years (FY 2006-07 through 2011-12) must be received as soon as possible. Grant fund amounts for FY 2006-07 have not yet been determined, but are expected to be approximately \$27,000.

Recommendation:

Adoption of proposed resolution entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF THE CALIFORNIA USED OIL RECYCLING BLOCK GRANT APPLICATIONS FOR FISCAL YEARS 2006-07 THROUGH 2011-12 FOR THE BURBANK RECYCLE CENTER OIL RECYCLING PROGRAM.

7. APPROVING THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF BURBANK AND THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES TO PROVIDE A PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAM:

Staff is requesting authorization to continue an agreement with the Los Angeles County Probation Department to provide a contract probation officer as part of the Prevention and Intervention Program (PIP) for the City of Burbank. This agreement with the County, previously known as the Gang Alternative Prevention Program (GAPP), is for a one-year period and has been renewed annually since 1992. This probation officer works directly with the Police Department Outreach Center and fulfills a number of community-based needs, including targeting at-risk youth, providing intensive community-based supervision to juveniles on probation and allowing the department a more timely method of dealing with juvenile detainees. The probation officer's detachment from a full County caseload enables him to quickly take action on anti-social behavior occurring in our local schools, which although serious, fails to rise to the level of criminal behavior.

The annual cost of this program is approximately \$120,000 and is split between the City and the County of Los Angeles. The City's portion is part of the Police department's Fiscal Year 2006-07 Budget. The Department continues to feel that this is an appropriate use of funds, as the contract probation officer's efforts have proven to be a strong tool to reduce gang activity, drug abuse and juvenile-related criminal activity.

Recommendation:

Adoption of proposed resolution entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK APPROVING THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF BURBANK AND THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES TO PROVIDE A PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAM (PIP).

END OF CONSENT CALENDAR

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

## REPORTS TO COUNCIL:

### 8. CAMPAIGNING DURING ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:

Council Member Ramos has requested that the Council consider restricting the use of Council oral communications periods for certain types of political campaigning. In the staff report, staff has furnished a compilation of information that has been provided to the Council in past years. Pursuant to the Council's policy staff has done no further work on this issue.

This matter brings into apparent opposition two significant public policy issues: the right of the public to address their elected officials and the right of the public to not have public funds be expended for or against a candidate or ballot measure. In addition, the necessity of the elected Council to be able to direct its efforts toward the business of the City must also be considered.

#### Recommendation:

Should there be majority support on the Council to consider such a restriction, it is recommended that staff be directed to further research this issue and bring back a proposal for discussion by the Council.

### 9. ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ART IN PUBLIC PLACES COMMITTEE:

Staff requests Council approval to amend the Burbank Municipal Code, Chapter 2, Section 2-422 to change the composition of the Art in Public Places Committee by increasing the number of at-large members.

As currently structured, the Art in Public Places Committee is comprised of five members. The membership consists of a Park, Recreation and Community Services Board member; a Planning Board member; and, three members at large appointed by the Council. All Council appointments are for four-year terms and expire on a rotational basis.

At the May 23, 2006 meeting, the Council requested that the number of at large members be increased from three to five, and appointed Carole Kubasak and Shannon Stevenson-Landon to serve on the Committee after the effective date of the amended ordinance.

There is no fiscal impact as a result of the recommended action.

#### Recommendation:

Introduction of proposed ordinance entitled:  
AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK AMENDING CHAPTER 2 OF THE BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO THE COMPOSITION OF THE ART IN PUBLIC PLACES COMMITTEE.

RECONVENE to the Redevelopment Agency, Housing Authority, Parking Authority and Youth Endowment Services Fund Board meetings for public comment.

**FINAL OPEN PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:** (Two minutes on any matter concerning the business of the City.)

This is the time for the Final Open Public Comment Period of Oral Communications. Each speaker will be allowed a maximum of **TWO** minutes and may speak on any matter concerning the business of the City. However, any speaker that spoke during the Initial Open Public Comment Period of Oral Communications may not speak during the Final Open Public Comment Period of Oral Communications.

For this segment, a **GREEN** card must be completed, indicating the matter to be discussed, and presented to the City Clerk.

**COUNCIL AND STAFF RESPONSE TO THE FINAL OPEN PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:**

ADJOURNMENT. To Tuesday, June 27, 2006 at 5:30 p.m. in the Council Chamber, 275 East Olive Avenue, for a Telecommunications Study Session.

**For a copy of the agenda and related staff reports,  
please visit the  
City of Burbank's Web Site:  
[www.ci.burbank.ca.us](http://www.ci.burbank.ca.us)**