

TUESDAY, MARCH 21, 2006

A regular meeting of the Council of the City of Burbank was held in the Council Chamber of the City Hall, 275 East Olive Avenue, on the above date. The meeting was called to order at 4:03 p.m. by Mr. Vander Borcht, Mayor.

CLOSED SESSION

Present- - - - Council Members Campbell, Gordon and Vander Borcht.  
Absent - - - - Council Members Golonski and Ramos.  
Also Present - Mr. Flad, Assistant City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney;  
and, Mrs. Campos, City Clerk.

Oral Communications There was no response to the Mayor's invitation for oral communications on Closed Session matters at this time.

4:04 P.M. Recess The Council recessed at this time to the City Hall Basement Lunch Room/Conference Room to hold a Closed Session on the following:

- a. Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation (City as potential defendant):  
Pursuant to Govt. Code §54956.9(b)(1)  
**Number of potential case(s): 1**
- b. Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation:  
Pursuant to Govt. Code §54956.9(a)  
**Name of Case:** City of Burbank v. Sempra Energy Trading Corporation.  
**Case No.:** CV 05-5448 GPS – Federal District Court.  
**Brief description and nature of case:** Breach of contract regarding sales of electrical energy.
- c. Conference with Real Property Negotiator:  
Pursuant to Govt. Code §54956.8  
**Agency Negotiator:** Community Development Director/ Susan Georgino.  
**Property:** 157 West Linden Avenue.  
**Parties With Whom City is Negotiating:** Grigorian Karmen and Rafik Bazikian, 663 North Glendale Avenue, Glendale, California, 91206.  
**Name of Contact Person:** Maribel Leyland and Julianne Venturo.  
**Terms Under Negotiation:** Purchase of property for affordable housing.
- d. Conference with Real Property Negotiator:  
Pursuant to Govt. Code §54956.8  
**Agency Negotiator:** Community Development Director/ Susan Georgino.

**Properties:** 159 West Linden Avenue/160 Elm Court.  
**Parties With Whom City is Negotiating:** Donald and Carol Hopp, Post Office Box 45274, Los Angeles, California 90045.  
**Name of Contact Person:** Maribel Leyland and Julianne Venturo.  
**Terms Under Negotiation:** Purchase of property for affordable housing.

- e. Public Employee Performance Evaluation:  
 Pursuant to Govt. Code §54957 and 54957.6  
**Title of Employee's Position:** City Manager and City Attorney.

Regular Meeting Reconvened in Council Chambers      The regular meeting of the Council of the City of Burbank was reconvened at 6:44 p.m. by Mr. Vander Borght, Mayor.

Invocation      The invocation was given by Reverend Kim Strutt.

Flag Salute      The pledge of allegiance to the flag was led by Vahe Haroytounian and Arno Vazikian.

**ROLL CALL**

Present- - - -      Council Members Campbell, Golonski, Gordon, Ramos and Vander Borght.

Absent - - - -      Council Members None.

Also Present -      Ms. Alvord, City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney; and, Mrs. Campos, City Clerk.

301-1 Annual Student Design Competition      Mrs. Georgino, Community Development Director, gave a brief description of the Annual Student Design Competition and recognized the judging panel which was comprised of Mayor Vander Borght, Tom Smith, NBC/Universal, West Coast Real Estate Group and Rasa Bauza, Project Manager, Warner Bros.

Mr. Lim, Senior Plan Check Engineer, Community Development Department, gave a brief overview of the project requirements and categories, and introduced the following award recipients: Best Overall Category, Vahe Haroytounian and Amo Vazikian, Burbank High School; Most Creative Category, Tim Castillo, Jonathan Ontiveros and Jason Martinez, John Burroughs High School; Honorable Mention Category, Alan Morales, John Burroughs High School; and, Special Recognition - Environmental Design Category, Summer Strutt, Burbank High School.

3/21/06

Mr. Lim also recognized the following participants: Ara Housepyan, John Boyadjyan and Michael Boyadjyan, Burbank High School; and, Alex Ramirez, John Burroughs High School. He expressed appreciation to teachers Dena Williams, John Burroughs High School, and Irene Harley, Burbank High School.

Mayor Vander Borght presented plaques to the winners and special recognition was given to the judges.

Reporting on  
Council Liaison  
Committees

Mr. Campbell reported on the Arroyo-Verdugo Subcommittee meeting and on attending the opening ceremony of the first hydrogen fueling station in Burbank.

406  
Airport Authority  
Meeting Report

Commissioner Brown reported on the Airport Authority meeting of March 20, 2006. He stated that the Authority awarded a contract for operating the parking lot and parking shuttle buses to Central Parking Systems; approved an increase in fines effective April 1, 2006; and, approved an amendment to the contract with AT&T regarding telephones at the Airport. He also informed the Council that the Airport is facing a parking shortage and that letters will be sent to all stakeholders on the matter. He added that the Authority has directed staff to develop several options for consideration.

The Council received the report.

7:26 P.M.  
Hearing  
1701  
Inclusionary  
Housing and  
Density Bonus  
Ordinances

Mayor Vander Borght stated that "this is the time and place for the hearing to consider a Zone Text Amendment regarding inclusionary housing, which requires affordable housing to be provided within new residential development projects; and, density bonus, which codifies existing State law which allows a density bonus for eligible affordable housing projects."

Meeting  
Disclosures

Dr. Gordon stated that he spoke with several realtors and members of the community on the matter.

Notice  
Given

The City Clerk was asked if notices had been given as required by law. She replied in the affirmative and advised that two pieces of correspondence had been received.

Staff  
Report

Mrs. Forbes, Deputy City Planner, Community Development Department, requested the Council approve a Zone Text Amendment for the Inclusionary Housing and Density Bonus Ordinances. She reported that in 1999, a Housing Needs Assessment was prepared in order to provide a profile of the community housing stock. After the 2000 Census, the assessment was updated and a determination was made that Burbank was part of the housing crisis identified for Southern California. She noted that nearly half of the renters are paying over 50 percent of their gross income on rent, only 36 percent of the Los Angeles County households can afford median-priced housing and the majority of Burbank's workforce, including teachers and nurses, cannot afford to live in Burbank with their families. She stated that subsequently, the Council formed a Blue Ribbon Task Force on Affordable Housing to draft programs and make recommendations to address the increasing need for affordable housing. She noted that the Task Force consisted of over 20 members of the Burbank community representing various organizations and boards, including two Council Members, two Planning Board Members, tenants, representatives from the Burbank Unified School District and developers.

Mrs. Forbes reported that after several meetings of reviewing studies and receiving input, seven recommendations were identified for increasing affordable housing, including the creation of an Inclusionary Housing Ordinance. She explained that inclusionary housing/zoning requires residential developers to include affordable units within their market-rate projects, and is applicable to both multi-family and single-family development. She added that the Council adopted a resolution accepting all recommendations by the Task Force and directed staff to proceed with the ordinance. She recounted that at the May 2005 affordable housing study session with the Planning Board, the Council reiterated the desire for an ordinance and gave specific direction with regard to its parameters.

Mrs. Forbes informed the Council that a focus group was formed, comprised of a Planning Board Member, a non-profit affordable housing developer, for profit affordable and market rate housing developers, and representatives from the Chamber of Commerce and Board of Realtors. She noted that the Building Industry of America was invited but never participated. She added that three other meetings were conducted, including one at the Chamber of Commerce and at the Board of Realtors. She further reported that input was also received from the cities of Glendale, Pasadena, Los Angeles, Long Beach, West Hollywood and Santa Monica. She also stated that a community workshop was held, with notices mailed to all multi-family applicants and property owners that have had projects

with the City in the last three years and to individuals on the interest list.

Mrs. Forbes discussed that the parameters of the proposed ordinance were as follows: 15 percent of all newly-constructed units be available at affordable rates. For ownership projects, fifteen percent of the units would be available to moderate-income households and for rental projects, five percent would be available to very low-income households and ten percent to low-income households; and, the units will remain affordable in perpetuity or for the life of the structure and an affordable housing agreement and covenant would be recorded with each project. With regard to the project size threshold, she stated that projects adding four new units or less would be exempt from the ordinance; projects with five to nine units would be subject to the ordinance, with provisions for paying a reduced in-lieu fee by right rather than including the units within the projects; projects with 10 to 13 units would pay a slightly-reduced in-lieu fee subject to Council approval; and, projects with fourteen or more units would be subject to the full ordinance and may pay the in-lieu fee with Council approval.

Mrs. Forbes added that the ordinance offers three options for providing the affordable units: an off-site development option; land donation option subject to certain criteria such as zoning and size; and, application for Council approval to pay the in-lieu fee. She added that an in-lieu fee study was done to determine the gap between a market-rate unit sales price and an affordable-unit maximum sales price. She noted that the gap will be the fee that a developer must pay in order for the City to construct the required unit within another project. She noted that similar to other cities, the City has elected to charge the fee on a per-square foot basis for the entire project as follows: one to four units, exempt; five to nine units, \$22.47 for ownership and \$11.50 for rental projects; 10 to 13 units, \$32.90 for ownership and \$16.84 for rental projects; 14 units or more, \$40.13 for ownership and \$20.53 for rental projects. She added that the proposed fee may seem high but one problem identified with other cities was that when the fee is not reflective of the gap, developers opt to pay the fee and the affordable units are never integrated into new housing. She also noted that the study was updated based on current market standards and the ordinance would allow for an annual review of the fees.

Mrs. Forbes added that the City will also offer incentives such as: tenant and purchaser screening; marketing of affordable units; financial assistance to unit purchasers; reduced inclusionary requirements for projects that provide units to households with lower incomes than required; and, a tiered

system of modified development standards.

Mrs. Forbes noted staff's recommendation that projects with a complete Development Review application by the effective date of the ordinance be exempt from its provisions and that a phase-in option be established for the first six months. She elaborated that this would allow developers to pay a reduced fee or have a reduced requirement to provide the units, similar to the option offered when the City first adopted the Development Impact Fee Ordinance.

Mrs. Forbes further noted that changes to the State density bonus law can have an effect on affordable housing and have been taken into consideration when crafting the ordinances. She added that the State density bonus law provides for areas where the City can write Code provisions in order to implement State law, such as concessions. She reported that State law allows a density bonus ranging from five percent up to a maximum of 35 percent, depending on the level of affordability and the magnitude of the development that is affordable. She noted that per State law, rental units need to remain affordable for 30 years, with no requirements for ownership projects. In addition, developments with affordable housing are allowed to have reduced parking requirements. Also, developers can only choose the land donation option if the land to be donated is over one acre or can accommodate more than 40 units. She added that substantial rehabilitation or in-lieu fees as a matter of right is not allowed.

Mrs. Forbes then discussed the public comment received on the matter and noted that a public hearing was held with the Planning Board. She reported that while some members cited philosophical objections to the ordinance and stated that the Redevelopment Agency is successful with providing affordable housing, the Board voted 5-0 to adopt the ordinances.

Mrs. Forbes informed the Council that staff has prepared two options for the Council's consideration in adopting the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance; requiring fifteen percent affordability and adopting a fee that is consistent with the actual gap of providing the affordable unit, or allowing the fee by-right for all developments. She also discussed the pros and cons of both options. She noted that a Negative Declaration was prepared stating that adopting the ordinances would not have a significant effect on the environment.

Citizen

Appearing to comment in opposition to the ordinance were: Don

3/21/06

Comment Elsmore; Michael Klein; Holly Schroeder; David McKinzie; Esther Espinoza; and, David Piroli.

Staff Rebuttal Mrs. Forbes responded to public comment with regard to: the possibility of over charging market rate units to compensate for lower rental rates for the affordable units; creating a second-class homeownership category since there will be no incentive for maintaining the property in good condition; administrative costs; use of funds for affordable housing; and, the number of large projects that have opted to pay an in-lieu fee.

Mr. Campbell inquired as to the Building Industry of America's (BIA) position on the State density bonus law. Holly Schroeder, BIA representative, responded that the BIA took a support position.

8:16 P.M. Hearing Closed There being no further response to the Mayor's invitation for oral comment, the hearing was declared closed.

Council Deliberation Mr. Golonski was supportive of the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance but not of staff's approach, stating that the approach will mandate affordable housing at a level that calls for a 35 percent density bonus. He noted that a developer who provides the required five percent very-low and 10 percent low-housing units qualifies for a 35 percent density bonus and may take advantage of the allowable parking reduction. He suggested maintaining the proposed affordable housing percentages, but setting the fees at 50 percent of the recommended fees with no phase-in period.

Mrs. Ramos expressed support for the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance which will enable the City to have some control. She also supported the reduction of fees as suggested by Mr. Golonski.

Dr. Gordon expressed concern with the ordinances, stating that they will have adverse impacts on the community. He suggested the Council register opposition to the State with regard to the density bonus law.

Mr. Campbell requested clarification on several issues, expressed disappointment with the State density bonus law and supported Mr. Golonski's suggestion.

Mr. Vander Borcht clarified that the Council has previously

written letters in opposition to the density bonus legislation and noted the need to take some form of action. He also noted the Council's recent action to reduce densities citywide. He expressed support for the ordinances and noted that the in-lieu fee could be used to provide affordable housing in perpetuity.

Motion Following Council deliberation, it was moved by Mr. Golonski and seconded by Mrs. Ramos that "the following resolutions be passed and adopted and the following ordinances be introduced:"

1701 RESOLUTION NO. 27,201:  
 Approving Implementing Regulations for Inclusionary Ordinance A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK APPROVING IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS FOR THE INCLUSIONARY ORDINANCE, with the provision that the in-lieu fee be 50 percent of the proposed amount, the option of the in-lieu fee be granted by right for all tiering levels with no phase-in period, and the definition of perpetuity be revised to include "as long as the land is used for housing and not less than 55 years." The amendments pertained to the Fee Resolution and the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance.

1701 RESOLUTION NO. 27,202:  
 Amend Fee Reso. Relating To Inclusionary Housing A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK AMENDING ARTICLE III, SECTION I OF RESOLUTION NO. 26,994, THE BURBANK FEE RESOLUTION, RELATING TO INCLUSIONARY HOUSING, with the above-mentioned modifications.

1701 RESOLUTION NO. 27,203:  
 Adopt Neg. Dec. For ZTA No. 2005-157 and ZTA No. 2003-4 A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADOPTING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR PROJECT NO. 2005-157 (Density Bonus) AND ZONE TEXT AMENDMENT NO. 2003-4 (Inclusionary Ordinance).

Amend Ch. 31 Relating to Inclusionary Housing AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK AMENDING CHAPTER 31 OF THE BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO INCLUSIONARY HOUSING.

Amend Ch. 31 Relating to Density Bonus 1701 RESOLUTION NO. 27,204:  
 AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK AMENDING CHAPTER 31 OF THE BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO DENSITY BONUS.



3/21/06

Implementing Regulations for The Density Bonus Ordinance      A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK APPROVING IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS FOR THE DENSITY BONUS ORDINANCE.

Adopted      The resolutions were adopted and the ordinances introduced by the following vote:

Ayes:      Council Members Campbell, Golonski, Ramos and Vander Borght.

Noes:      Council Member Gordon.

Absent:      Council Members None.

Reporting on Closed Session      Mr. Barlow reported on the items considered by the City Council and the Redevelopment Agency Board during the Closed Session meetings. He noted that the Council voted 4-0 (Dr. Gordon recused himself) to direct staff to proceed with acquisition negotiations for the property located at 1001 Magnolia Boulevard (Red Cross building).

Initial Open Public Comment Period of Oral Communications      Mr. Vander Borght called for speakers for the initial open public comment period of oral communications at this time.

Citizen Comment      Appearing to comment were: Don Elsmore, on the Brown Act; Wayne and Izabela Klein, objecting to a proposal to install power lines which will obstruct their hillside view; Elizabeth Montgomery, Mary Schindler, Mary Whittle and Stan Hyman, on the Glendale-Burbank Interceptor Sewer (GBIS) Project; Esther Espinoza, on the right to livelihood; Carolyn Berlin, on Airport matters and the GBIS Project; David Piroli, on rules of order for Council meetings; and, Eden Rosen, on the Charter Review Committee meeting and rental increases for senior housing.

Staff Response      Members of the Council and staff responded to questions raised.

Agenda Item Oral Communications      Mr. Vander Borght called for speakers for the agenda item oral communications at this time.

Citizen      Appearing to comment were: Don Elsmore, on the Brown Act

Comment and Airport matters; Holly Schroeder, on the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance; Esther Espinoza, in support of the Burbank Housing Corporation projects; Carolyn Berlin, on the parking shortage at the Airport and neighborhood compatibility; Eden Rosen, on rent increases and the shortage of affordable housing; and, David Piroli, on the Burbank Housing Corporation debt restructuring and on Airport issues.

Staff Response Members of the Council and staff responded to questions raised.

1208 Mr. Swoger, Housing Development Manager, Community Development Department, requested the Council and Redevelopment Agency (Agency) Board consider agreements that will restructure the City's and Agency's financing to the Burbank Housing Corporation (BHC) for the residential properties owned and/or leased, and operated by BHC as affordable rental housing in the City's Focus Neighborhoods. He reported that BHC currently operates residential properties containing over 200 rental-housing units within the City's Focus Neighborhoods and that each of these properties contains units that are affordable to lower- and moderate-income households. In addition, he added that the BHC operates four Family Resource Centers and owns and manages operations of the BHC Childcare Center.

Mr. Swoger stated that historically, the Agency has provided financing to BHC to acquire and rehabilitate the affordable housing properties and develop the Family Resource Centers. He added that generally, the Agency's financing has been project specific and predicated upon the minimum amount of public assistance warranted to ensure that revenues generated from each property are sufficient to operate the property and fund reserves. However, he noted that this financing structure does not support BHC's growing operational needs as it continues to take on new projects and initiate revitalization efforts in the new Lake-Alameda Focus Neighborhood.

Mr. Swoger reported that the proposed restructuring actions include the Agency extending financing to BHC to pay off several private mortgage loans and converting other Agency loans to residual receipts loans. He noted that these actions will assist BHC to overcome a potential funding shortfall by increasing cash flow for their operations and will provide for a consistent financing and repayment structure for all of the City's and Agency's loans.

Mrs. Ramos requested clarification on the operating expenses.

3/21/06

Dr. Gordon requested clarification with regard to rental rates, property appraisals, liability issues, use of funds, loan payment procedures and parking requirements.

Motion It was moved by Mrs. Ramos and seconded by Dr. Gordon that "the following resolutions be passed and adopted:"

1208 Restructuring of Burbank Housing Corp. RESOLUTION NO. 27,205:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK APPROVING AGREEMENTS AMONG THE CITY, THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF BURBANK, AND BURBANK HOUSING CORPORATION RELATING TO THE RESTRUCTURING OF BURBANK HOUSING CORPORATION DEBT.

Redev. Agency Reso. Adopted Redevelopment Agency Resolution No. R-2150 Amending the Fiscal Year 2005-2006 Budget to Appropriate \$1,764,000.00 Relating to the Restructuring of Burbank Housing Corporation Debt was adopted.

Redev. Agency Reso. Adopted Redevelopment Agency Resolution No. R-2151 Approving Agreements Among the Agency, the City of Burbank and Burbank Housing Corporation Relating to the Restructuring of Burbank Housing Corporation was adopted.

Adopted The resolutions were adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Campbell, Golonski, Gordon, Ramos and Vander Borght.

Noes: Council Members None.

Absent: Council Members None.

906 1208-1 Affordable Hsng. Acquisition Strategy (Focus Neighborhoods) Mrs. Leyland, Senior Redevelopment Project Manager, Community Development Department, presented the proposed strategy for future housing acquisition and rehabilitation efforts in the City's focus neighborhoods. She reported that in July 2003 and May 2005, the Council and Redevelopment Agency (Agency) Board held study sessions on the City's Affordable Housing Program. She explained that at the forefront of the City's Affordable Housing Program are the approved goals and implementing programs identified by the Blue Ribbon Task Force on Affordable Housing, which include: creating community in conjunction with housing; encouraging a balance and variety in

housing; facilitating mixed use and in-fill development; preserving existing affordable housing; sustaining and strengthening neighborhoods; expanding homeownership opportunities; and, promoting affordable and accessible housing to special needs populations.

Mrs. Leyland informed the Council that several steps have been undertaken to accomplish the above-mentioned goals. She noted that the creation of activity/family resource centers in the focus neighborhoods, aimed at providing programming to build healthier families and stronger neighborhoods, has met the goal of creating community in conjunction with housing. She added that in an effort to encourage balance and variety in housing, developers were required to include an affordable housing component in all Agency-sponsored residential and mixed-use projects.

Mrs. Leyland noted that while the City and Agency have made progress in meeting the affordable housing needs of the community, the demand continues to outweigh the supply. She added that the most important partnership has been with the Burbank Housing Corporation (BHC) which assists in acquiring and rehabilitating rental properties for low-income families. She reported that to date, the BHC has an inventory of over 200 units, 170 of which are available to low- and moderate-income households. She reported that the City currently has over 2,400 affordable housing units, which have been developed through: the acquisition and rehabilitation program in partnership with the BHC; homeownership program through mixed-income development; senior residential development; special needs residential development; rehabilitation loan program; and, Section 8 Voucher Program.

Mrs. Leyland reported that based on the current estimate of 43,338 housing units in the community, 5.6 percent of the City's housing units are available to very low-, low- and moderate-income households. She stated that assuming the affordable units produced to date remain constant except for efforts through the acquisition and rehabilitation program, staff developed a housing acquisition strategy that proposes to focus future resources in the acquisition and rehabilitation activities in the focus neighborhoods. She noted that the concentrated effort has proven to revitalize neighborhoods while providing affordable housing.

Mrs. Leyland then identified the City's focus neighborhoods, including Elmwood, Verdugo-Lake, Golden State, Peyton-Grismer and Lake-Alameda. She discussed that staff intends to continue with the acquisition and rehabilitation efforts and promoting homeownership opportunities. She discussed that

based on housing unit capacity projections outlined in the Land Use Element update, the City will have approximately 50,000 housing units by the year 2025 and, assuming continued acquisition and rehabilitation efforts by the BHC and possible acquisition for homeownership developments, the percentage of affordable housing units in the City is estimated to remain at about six percent with an estimated cost of a minimum of \$10 million annually. She added that a projected \$4 million annual revenue stream is expected in the Housing Set-Aside Fund thereby resulting in a \$6 million funding gap beginning in Fiscal Year (FY) 2007-08. To help bridge the gap, she stated that staff proposes to use HOME funds, additional appropriation from the tax increment funds for a four-year period beginning in FY 2007-08, inclusionary in-lieu fees, grant funds and other funding that may be available. She requested the Council and Agency Board authorize staff to implement the proposed acquisition strategy and approve of the additional funding from the tax increment funds.

Dr. Gordon requested clarification with the targeted number of affordable units over the five-year period, inquired as to whether the funds could be used for infrastructure purposes, and suggested scaling back the number of targeted units and reconsidering the matter at a future date.

Mr. Golonski was not satisfied with maintaining the six percent affordability level and suggested targeting a 10 percent affordability component. He noted that a policy will be set for directional purposes and if a major infrastructure need arose, the Council would take it into consideration at that time.

Mrs. Ramos supported maintaining the six percent affordability level.

Mr. Vander Borcht agreed that providing affordable housing was essential, but stated his preference for using redevelopment funds for other uses such as a new central library, remodeling the Tuttle Center and public transportation, among others. He was not supportive of the additional funding from the Housing Set-Aside Fund at this time. Dr. Gordon concurred with holding off on additional funding.

Motion Failed      It was moved by Mr. Golonski, seconded by Mrs. Ramos and

failed with Mr. Campbell, Dr. Gordon and Mr. Vander Borcht voting no that "staff be directed to implement the proposed housing acquisition strategy and consider the additional \$2.5 million increased contribution from the Agency's tax increment funds to the Housing Set-Aside Fund beginning in Fiscal Year (FY) 2007-08 through FY 2010-11."

Mr. Golonski requested consideration for a \$2.5 million contribution for FY 2007-08, so that the strategy can move forward and if funding is available the matter will be considered annually.

Mr. Vander Borcht responded that adequate funding is available in the Housing Set-Aside and HOME Funds to continue with the affordable housing strategy, but not as aggressively.

Staff was directed to implement the proposed housing acquisition strategy. The additional funding was not approved.

11:06 P.M.  
Recess

The Council recessed to permit the Public Financing Authority to hold its meeting. The Council reconvened at 11:06 p.m. with all members present.

Motion

It was moved by Mr. Campbell and seconded by Mr. Golonski that "the following items on the consent calendar be approved as recommended."

1007-1  
1009-1  
Establish Spec.  
For Chief  
Financial Officer

RESOLUTION NO. 27,206:

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ESTABLISHING THE TITLE AND SPECIFICATION FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER (CTC No. 0149) AND PRESCRIBING CLASSIFICATION CODE NUMBER, SALARY AND SPECIFICATION THEREOF.

1007-1  
1009-1  
Establish Spec.  
for Asst. Public  
Works Dir. –  
Wastewater  
Systems

RESOLUTION NO. 27,207:

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ESTABLISHING THE TITLE AND SPECIFICATION FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF ASSISTANT PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR – WASTEWATER SYSTEMS (CTC No. 0080) AND PRESCRIBING CLASSIFICATION CODE NUMBER, SALARY AND SPECIFICATION THEREOF.

3/21/06

1011-5  
Approve a MOU  
With the BMA

RESOLUTION NO. 27,208:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK APPROVING A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE CITY OF BURBANK AND THE BURBANK MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION FROM JULY 1, 2005 TO JUNE 30, 2008 AND AMENDING THE ANNUAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-2006.

403  
1401  
Amend. Coop.  
Agmt. with  
Caltrans for SR-  
134 Ramp Imp.

RESOLUTION NO. 27,209:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF BURBANK AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CONCERNING THE RAMP IMPROVEMENT ON SR-134 BETWEEN CALIFORNIA STREET AND PASS AVENUE IN THE CITY OF BURBANK.

Adopted

The consent calendar was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Campbell, Golonski, Gordon, Ramos and Vander Borcht.  
Noes: Council Members None.  
Absent: Council Members None.

Ordinance  
Submitted

It was moved by Mr. Campbell and seconded by Mr. Golonski that "Ordinance No. 3692 be read for the second time by title only and be passed and adopted." The title to the following ordinance was read:

1702  
Elimination of  
Compact Pkg.

ORDINANCE NO. 3692:  
AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK AMENDING VARIOUS SECTIONS OF CHAPTER 31 OF THE BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE ELIMINATING COMPACT PARKING FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL USES.

Adopted

The ordinance was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Campbell, Golonski, Gordon, Ramos and Vander Borcht.  
Noes: Council Members None.  
Absent: Council Members None.

11:08 P.M.

The Redevelopment Agency and Burbank Public Financing

Reconvene  
Redev. Agency  
And Public  
Financing  
Authority  
Meetings

Authority meetings were reconvened at this time.

Final Open  
Public Comment  
Period of Oral  
Communications

There was no response to the Mayor's invitation for speakers for the final open public comment period of oral communications at this time.

Adjournment

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned at 11:09 p.m.

---

Margarita Campos, CMC  
City Clerk

APPROVED MAY 9, 2006

---

Mayor of the Council  
of the City of Burbank