

TUESDAY, JUNE 21, 2005

A regular meeting of the Council of the City of Burbank was held in the Council Chamber of the City Hall, 275 East Olive Avenue, on the above date. The meeting was called to order at 5:12 p.m. by Mr. Vander Borght, Mayor.

CLOSED SESSION

Present- - - - Council Members Murphy, Ramos and Vander Borght.
Absent - - - - Council Members Campbell and Golonski.
Also Present - Ms. Alvord, City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney; and, Mrs. Wilson, Deputy City Clerk.

Oral Communications There was no response to the Mayor's invitation for oral communications on Closed Session matters at this time.

5:12 P.M. Recess The Council recessed at this time to the City Hall Basement Lunch Room/Conference Room to hold a Closed Session on the following:

- a. Conference with Labor Negotiator:
Pursuant to Govt. Code §54957.6
Name of the Agency Negotiator: Management Services Director/Judie Sarquiz.
Name of Organization Representing Employee:
Represented: Burbank City Employees Association, Burbank Management Association, Burbank Firefighters Chief Officers Unit, and Burbank Police Officers Association; Unrepresented, and Appointed Officials.
Summary of Labor Issues to be Negotiated: Contracts and Retirement Issues.
- b. Conference with Real Property Negotiator:
Pursuant to Govt. Code §54956.8
Agency Negotiator: Community Development Director/ Susan M. Georgino.
Property: Opportunity Site #6B-Bounded by Magnolia Boulevard, First Street, Orange Grove Avenue and Bonnywood Place (I-5 Freeway). Opportunity Site #7-Bounded by Magnolia Boulevard, railroad tracks and Olive Avenue – adjacent to the Downtown Burbank Metrolink Station.
Party With Whom Agency is Negotiating: Del Rey Properties, 1036 North Lake Street, Burbank, California 91502.
Name of Contact Person: Maribel Leyland.
Terms Under Negotiation: Sale of City and Agency-owned property located on Opportunity Site 6B and Opportunity Site 7.

6/21/05

Regular Meeting Reconvened in Council Chambers	The regular meeting of the Council of the City of Burbank was reconvened at 6:51 p.m. by Mr. Vander Borght, Mayor.
Invocation	The invocation was given by Pastor Paul Clairville, Westminster Presbyterian Church.
Flag Salute	The pledge of allegiance to the flag was led by Sadie Misenhimer.
ROLL CALL	
Present- - - -	Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos and Vander Borght.
Absent - - - -	Council Member Campbell.
Also Present -	Ms. Alvord, City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney; and, Mrs. Wilson, Deputy City Clerk.
301-1 Laszlo Tabori World Class Runner and Coach	Mayor Vander Borght presented a commendation to Coach Laszlo Tabori, in recognition of his outstanding contributions to track and field. He acknowledged Mr. Tabori as a true community treasure, who is a world class runner, coach, resident and business owner in the City for 11 years.
301-1 National Park and Recreation Month	Mr. Vander Borght presented a proclamation in honor of National Park and Recreation Month to Mr. Hansen, Park, Recreation and Community Services Director.
301-1 Message of Respect	Michael Hastings, Co-Chair of the National Law Enforcement Memorial Fund, introduced winners of the Message of Respect essay contest sponsored by Crown Realty and Development LLC on respect for law enforcement. Mayor Vander Borght presented Certificates of Recognition to Benji Baker, John Burroughs High School and Sadie Misenhimer, Theodore Roosevelt Elementary School, and congratulated them on their outstanding participation and achievement.
Law Enforcement Efforts	Mr. Vander Borght requested Chief Hoefel to provide an update on the law enforcement efforts that transpired over the last 24 hours. Chief Hoefel commented on the law enforcement activities with 16 other law enforcement agencies with regard to the Vineland Boys street gang.

406
Airport
Authority Report

Commissioner Brown congratulated the Chief and the Burbank Police Department on their law enforcement efforts. He reported on the Airport Authority meeting of June 20, 2005 and noted that the Authority approved the purchase of four diesel buses which will be available in six months as opposed to the one or two-year waiting period for Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) buses. He stated that the buses would be retrofitted with the latest emission control devices at the earliest opportunity. He also informed the Council of the retirement of Glendale Commissioner Carl Messeck.

Mayor Vander Borgh encouraged the Airport Authority to consider the purchase of CNG buses in the future and to pursue the acquisition of an additional CNG fueling station. Commissioner Brown responded that the Authority will continue to work with the City towards the acquisition of CNG buses and establishment of a second CNG fueling station.

7:27 P.M.
Hearing
1701
ZTA 2005-46
Multi-Family
Residential Dev.
Standards

Mayor Vander Borgh stated that "this is the time and place for the hearing on Project No. 2005-46, a Zone Text Amendment modifying multiple-family residential development standards, and a related Negative Declaration."

Notice
Given

The Deputy City Clerk was asked if notices had been given as required by law. She replied in the affirmative and advised that the City Clerk's Office received notice of two telephone calls and one piece of written correspondence on the matter.

Staff
Report

Mrs. Lazar, Senior Planner, Community Development Department, requested the Council consider a Zone Text Amendment to reduce multiple-family residential densities and implement new multiple-family residential development and design standards to the Zoning Code. She noted that the proposed changes respond to the Council's concerns about multiple-family residential densities and the quality and compatibility of new residential development in two ways; by reducing the permitted densities by approximately 30 percent, and introducing new development standards that address compatibility and quality of design. She noted that the goal of the new standards is to maintain the quality, integrity and distinct character of the multiple-family residential neighborhoods while continuing to allow for new housing. She reported that the Planning Board held a public hearing on

May 23, 2005 on the proposed standards and the Negative Declaration prepared for the ZTA, and voted unanimously to recommend that the Council approve the multiple-family standards as proposed with no modifications. She added that since the ZTA affects numerous residents and property owners, staff made extensive community outreach to receive public input. She elaborated on the noticing and public outreach efforts and stated that should the Council approve the ZTA, there were various options on how to handle the numerous project applications in the permitting process at the time the new standards become effective.

Mr. Forbes, Senior Planner, Community Development Department, discussed several proposed standards that the Council expressed concern about at the April 26, 2005 Study Session, including:

The 20-foot buffer area required between an R-1 single-family property and a multi-family property. He noted that currently, a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) is required for a driveway to provide alley access, and the buffer area cannot be used as the required on-site open space area. He noted that the 20-foot buffer area requirement can be a substantial limitation on multi-family development especially when abutting an R-1 Zone. He stated that the primary concern with the use of the buffer area for open space is noise; however, the Code currently does not prohibit project residents from using the buffer area for recreational purposes but prevents the area from being used by a project designer to satisfy the open space requirement. He added that using the space for recreational purposes is likely to generate some noise and noted staff's recommendation to allow designers to count the area towards the required open space.

With regard to the driveway issue, Mr. Forbes noted that staff opined that allowing alley access would have a minimal impact on the adjacent R-1 property and does not necessitate requiring a CUP. He added that alley access should be encouraged for multi-family properties to minimize curb cuts and interference with pedestrian traffic on sidewalks. He noted that requiring a discretionary approval process such as a CUP for alley access encourages designers to provide access from the street.

Mr. Forbes then clarified staff's suggestion that garage door openings facing the street serve a common garage area and not an individual garage. He explained that the requirement was intended to apply only to doors that are on the front or street-facing side yard elevation, and was not intended to

prevent doors that are located away from the street on the interior or the rear of a project from providing access to individual private garages. He noted that the language has been revised accordingly.

Mr. Forbes also added that requirements for landscape maintenance and the need for all landscaping to be properly irrigated and maintained for the life of the project have been added to the standards.

Mr. Forbes noted that staff continues to recommend not requiring landscape buffers for driveways and surface parking areas on lots smaller than 12,000 sf, noting that there are limitations on the ability to provide surface parking along with landscaping. He stated that while as much landscaping as possible is encouraged, it would not be practical to require a three-foot buffer for surface parking on lots smaller than 12,000 sf.

With regard to tree size requirements, Mr. Forbes noted that trees larger than a 24-inch box are generally not as readily available and are more costly, and that the five-foot side and rear yard areas pose challenges in planting a 24-inch box or larger. He added that staff recommended maintaining the existing requirements.

Mr. Forbes also reported that all special area requirements will be eliminated, with the exception of those pertaining to the Rancho area. He noted that the Rancho area standards will however be mandatory for all properties, so that the City has the ability to enforce them.

Mr. Forbes noted that the Planning Board recommended approval of the standards as proposed by staff including the above changes; however, some issues were raised regarding: requirement to use the same primary material on multiple structures which may cause unintended monotony, noting that the language has been revised to allow the Community Development Director to make exceptions for townhouse and other detached projects where a variety is desired; allowing balconies on elevations adjacent to or abutting R-1 Zone properties; requiring guest spaces to be unsecured; and, establishing different densities and standards for different corridors in the City. He added that there would be no changes to the Zone Map as part of the proposed amendment and that all changes to the Zone Ordinance would be to the text, standards and densities for existing zones.

Mr. Forbes stated that following the Council study session, staff further analyzed the densities, specifically in relation to

lot size. He noted that the density numbers were slightly adjusted; however, the overall highest density level was not increased. He noted staff's intention to incentivize lot assembly by limiting the number of units that can be built on a single lot. He added that the modified density numbers were presented to the Planning Board on May 23, 2005 and approval was recommended.

With regard to when and how the standards would apply to projects already in the approval process, Mr. Forbes stated that staff recommended that all projects with a Development Review application deemed complete by the effective date of the ordinance be allowed to continue under the current standards. He noted that there are approximately 45 multiple-family projects representing 322 total dwelling units in the project review process and that there was an opportunity for additional applications which could be submitted and deemed complete before the new standards become effective. He informed the Council of other options on the effectiveness of the standards, including Development Review approval, plan check submittal and building permit issuance.

Mr. Forbes concluded with staff's opinion that the proposed changes will effectively address development concerns and recommended approval of the standards as proposed, applicable to all projects that do not have a completed Development Review application on file by the effective date.

Citizen
Comment

Appearing to comment on the matter were: Jacquelyn Colton; Marko Babineau; Tony Rondinella; Eden Rosen; Dan Moore; Esther Espinoza; and, Mike Nolan.

Staff
Rebuttal

Mr. Forbes responded to public comment with regard to the application of the standards to projects already in process.

Hearing
Closed

There being no further response to the Mayor's invitation for oral comment, the hearing was declared closed.

Council
Deliberations

Mr. Golonski requested that the effective date be considered separately and that the details of the standards be considered first. He was agreeable to most of the proposed standards with the exception of the buffer zone use and balcony height, stating his preference not to change the existing Code.

Ms. Murphy expressed support for the five-foot balcony height, maintaining the CUP process for the driveway and use

of the buffer zone as an open space.

Mrs. Ramos expressed support for the five-foot balcony height, maintaining the CUP process for the driveway alley access and concurred with staff's recommendation on the buffer zone use.

Mr. Vander Borght expressed support for maintaining the CUP process for the driveway considering proximity to R-1 property, using the buffer zone as a common open space and the five-foot balcony height. He acknowledged the impact that density reduction may have on many property owners but noted its need, and cited the deteriorating traffic impacts.

Mr. Golonski noted the impacts of high density development, and stated that if no changes are made to the density standards, more draconian changes will be necessary in the future. He also noted that the recycled properties which are more dense do not provide affordable units. He acknowledged that there are no means to change standards without impacting property owners, but noted the need to preserve the ability for all multi-family property owners to build at a rate that the community can accommodate.

Ms. Murphy noted the traffic impacts, stated that traffic studies indicate that the City does not have pass-through traffic, and added that denser development will only exacerbate the situation.

Mr. Golonski requested the Council consider an Interim Development Control Ordinance (IDCO) for a 45-day period at the July 12, 2005 meeting, applicable to all projects that have not received a building permit. He noted his desire to see as many projects as possible meeting the new standards, and stated that numerous projects have been approved since discussions on the issue started.

Mrs. Ramos disagreed with adopting an IDCO and supported reducing densities as the correct direction, with completion of a Development Review application as the cut-off point.

Ms. Murphy and Mr. Vander Borght were not supportive of the IDCO but were agreeable to the standards being applicable to Development Review applications deemed complete by staff.

Mr. Golonski requested that as an alternative, the Council consider an IDCO with the ability to proceed under a CUP, subject to review by the Planning Board, and possibly the Council, for compatibility.

Mr. Vander Borght requested clarification on the potential

6/21/05

impact of the proposed standards to the density bonus law and Mr. Forbes responded that since its State law, it would have the same application under the new standards. He explained that since the by-right densities will be lower, what can be achieved under a density bonus would also be less. He noted that there is currently one application in process for a density bonus project and stated that the application would probably be deemed complete prior to the effective date of the new densities.

There was Council consensus for the five-foot height for balconies, allowing use of the buffer zone for common open space and continuing with the CUP process on usage of the 20-foot buffer zone for driveway access adjacent to R-1 property.

Motion It was moved by Mrs. Ramos and seconded by Ms. Murphy that "the following resolution be passed and adopted and the following ordinance be introduced and read for the first time by title:"

1701 RESOLUTION NO. 27,003:
Adopt Neg. Dec. A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
for Project No. ADOPTING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR PROJECT NO.
2005-46 2005-46 (MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
(Multiple Family STANDARDS).
Res. Dev.)

1701 AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
Amend Ch. 31 AMENDING CHAPTER 31 OF THE BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE
Relating to Multi RELATING TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
Family Res. Dev. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS (PROJECT NO. 2005-46).
(Project No.
2005-46)

Adopted The resolution was adopted and the ordinance introduced by
the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos and
Vander Borght.
Noes: Council Members None.
Absent: Council Member Campbell.

Reporting on Closed Session	Mr. Barlow reported on the items considered by the City Council and the Redevelopment Agency during the Closed Session meetings.
Initial Open Public Comment Period of Oral Communications	Mr. Vander Borcht called for speakers for the initial open public comment period of oral communications at this time.
Citizen Comment	Appearing to comment were: Jonathan Gorman, introducing the Burbank Weekly publication; Don Elsmore, on the Airport Authority meeting; David Gordon, playing a video of a 1996 staff presentation to the Planning Board on the NBC Master Plan; Eden Rosen, on graffiti removal; David Piroli, on the NBC Master Plan; Esther Espinoza, on law enforcement efforts and gang activity; and, Mike Nolan, on law enforcement efforts.
Staff Response	Members of the Council and staff responded to questions raised.
Agenda Item Oral Communications	Mr. Vander Borcht called for speakers for the agenda item oral communications at this time.
Citizen Comment	Appearing to comment were: David Gordon, on the A-1 North Property and on traffic issues; Don Elsmore, on the Airport Authority meeting; Eden Rosen, on the proposed Emergency Medical Service (EMS) fee adjustments and in support of the contract probation officer; Mike Nolan, in support of the proposed EMS fee adjustments; and, Esther Espinoza, on soundproofing homes in Sun Valley.
Staff Response	Members of the Council and staff responded to questions raised.
9:19 P.M. Recess	The Council recessed to permit the Redevelopment Agency to hold its meeting. The Council reconvened at 9:20 p.m. with the same members present.
Motion	It was moved by Ms. Murphy and seconded by Mrs. Ramos that "the following items on the consent calendar be approved

6/21/05

as recommended.”

1007-1 RESOLUTION NO. 27,004:
1009-1 A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
Establish Title ESTABLISHING THE TITLE AND SPECIFICATION FOR THE
For Budget CLASSIFICATION OF BUDGET ASSISTANT (CTC NO. 0110)
Assistant AND PRESCRIBING CLASSIFICATION CODE NUMBER, SALARY
 AND SPECIFICATION THEREOF.

1007-1 RESOLUTION NO. 27,005:
1009-1 A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
Establish Title ESTABLISHING THE TITLE AND SPECIFICATION FOR THE
For Budget CLASSIFICATION OF BUDGET ANALYST (CTC NO. 0109) AND
Analyst PRESCRIBING CLASSIFICATION CODE NUMBER, SALARY AND
 SPECIFICATION THEREOF.

1007-1 RESOLUTION NO. 27,006:
1009-1 A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
Establish Title ESTABLISHING THE TITLE AND SPECIFICATION FOR THE
For Senior CLASSIFICATION OF SENIOR BUDGET ANALYST (CTC NO.
Budget Analyst 0759) AND PRESCRIBING CLASSIFICATION CODE NUMBER,
 SALARY AND SPECIFICATION THEREOF.

404 RESOLUTION NO. 27,007:
907 A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
Agmt. w/L.A. APPROVING THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
County for BURBANK AND THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES TO PROVIDE
Probation GANG ALTERNATIVE AND PREVENTION PROGRAM SERVICES
Officer (GAPP).

1602 RESOLUTION NO. 27,008:
3rd Amend. to A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
PSA w/Kimley- APPROVING THE THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE
Horn for PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY
Traffic Study OF BURBANK AND KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES FOR
 PREPARATION OF A TRAFFIC STUDY.

Adopted The consent calendar was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos and
 Vander Borght.

Noes: Council Members None.

Absent: Council Member Campbell.

911 Mr. Andersen, Principal Engineer, Public Works Department,

Amend Ch. 25 – requested the Council consider an ordinance amending Sewers Chapter 25 (Sewers) of the Burbank Municipal Code (BMC) to comply with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regulations and adopt a Negative Declaration. He noted that the City's Sewer Use Ordinance provides the authority for the City to regulate the discharge from industries within its boundaries. He added that the Ordinance was last updated over ten years ago and requires modifications to conform to current USEPA regulatory language.

Mr. Andersen then noted other proposed changes to Chapter 25 of the BMC, including: 1) addition of Article 10, Storm Water and Runoff Pollution Control, which will incorporate the actual language of the Los Angeles County Code into the BMC rather than by reference; 2) addition of Resolution No. 17,805 language which provides circumstances in which the City would pay for the repair of a building sewer that had been crushed or misaligned by parkway trees; 3) a requirement that all new and remodeled properties install, operate and maintain an approved backwater valve on their building sewer unless proven that such a device is unnecessary. He noted that this requirement will apply to all buildings that are newly-constructed, modified and having a building permit valuation of \$50,000 or more, replacing the building sewers, or repairing building sewers with an aggregate repair length in excess of ten feet. He explained that backwater valves prevent the backup of wastewater into a building in the event of a sewer blockage; 4) a requirement that Food Service Establishments (FSE) install, operate and maintain a grease interceptor, unless a conditional waiver is granted by the Director or a designee. He noted that this requirement will apply to all FSEs that are newly-constructed or modified and having a building permit valuation of \$50,000 or more, and will reduce sanitary sewer overflows caused by grease originating from FSEs; and, 5) various language clarifications and updates.

Mr. Andersen reported that pursuant to Section 15063 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), a Negative Declaration was prepared. He added that the public review period began on May 3, 2005 and concluded on May 24, 2005 but no comments were received. He noted that on June 8, 2005, the proposed changes were presented to the Board of Building and Fire Code Appeals which voted unanimously to recommend that the Council adopt the changes as proposed.

Motion It was moved by Ms. Murphy and seconded by Mrs. Ramos that "the following resolution be passed and adopted and the following ordinance be introduced and read for the first time:"

6/21/05

911
Adopt Neg. Dec.
Relating to BMC
Ch. 25 (Urban
Storm Water
Mitigation Plan)

RESOLUTION NO. 27,009:
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ADOPTING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION RELATING TO THE AMENDMENTS TO BMC CHAPTER 25 REGARDING THE EPA REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTROLLING DISCHARGES FROM PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT PLANTS AND REGARDING CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD'S STANDARDS FOR THE URBAN STORM WATER MITIGATION PLAN.

911
Amend Ch. 25
of the BMC
Relating to
Discharges from
POTWS

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK AMENDING VARIOUS SECTIONS OF CHAPTER 25 OF THE BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO DISCHARGES FROM PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS (POTWS) AND RELATING TO THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD'S STANDARD URBAN STORM WATER MITIGATION PLAN (SUSMP).

Adopted

The resolution was adopted and the ordinance introduced by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos and Vander Borght.
Noes: Council Members None.
Absent: Council Member Campbell.

905-1
Analysis of Fees
And Revenue
Collection
Procedures for
EMS

Interim Fire Chief Pansini presented an analysis of fiscal and administrative issues related to paramedic transportation and billing procedures, and discussed proposed changes to Article V of the Burbank Citywide Fee Schedule and the effects those modifications may have on Burbank residents and General Fund revenues. He informed the Council that in recent years, the City has been adopting fees at or near the maximum allowed by the County of Los Angeles (County) for both Advanced Life Support (ALS) and Basic Life Support (BLS) transport. He noted that typically, fee increases were under ten percent; however, the most recent fees adopted by the County Board of Supervisors are considerably higher than average, 16.5 percent and 21.5 percent for ALS and BLS, respectively. He added that staff was directed to provide further explanation of what caused this drastic change and how the change affects service payers in Burbank.

Chief Pansini reported that currently, Burbank's fees for ALS and BLS transport are the lowest in the County. He noted that

while it is always beneficial to Burbank customers to charge the lowest fee possible, this discrepancy in fees creates some consistency issues with the Area C partners who often respond to incidents in Burbank. He added that many local cities, including Glendale and Pasadena, have language written within their fee schedules which automatically increases paramedic transportation fees when the County adjusts its allowable rate. This allows the cities to stay consistent with what other jurisdictions are charging and eliminates the loss in revenue due to the lag time between the County decision and their internal City processes to amend their fee schedules.

Chief Pansini discussed the options available for patients who cannot afford the payments, such as: payment plans for as little as \$5 per month; fee waivers; and, assistance with applying for Medi-Cal. He informed the Council that the Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Membership Program offers Burbank residents an affordable means of paying for emergency paramedic and ambulance transport costs not covered by medical insurance. He explained that an enrollment fee of \$4 per month covers an entire household and there is no limit to how often members can use this service.

Chief Pansini informed the Council that ambulance transportation revenues for Fiscal Year (FY) 2004-05 are projected at \$1.2 million, approximately \$50,000 less than the previous fiscal year and added that based on current trends, these revenues are expected to decrease by another \$35,000 in FY 2005-06 from write-downs. He stated that should the Council choose to adopt the proposed resolution and align the City's paramedic ambulance fees to the maximum rate allowed by the County, the anticipated revenue increase is \$93,000 and after the write-down-related losses, an additional \$58,000 in revenue to the General Fund in FY 2005-06 would be realized to help offset the growing costs of providing EMS services to the public.

Chief Pansini concluded with staff's recommendation to amend the Burbank Fee Schedule to align paramedic fees with the current rates allowed by the County and incorporate language allowing automatic adjustments to the paramedic ambulance service fees in order to conform to the County's general public ambulance rates.

It was the Council's consensus to approve the automatic fee increase with direction that the fee increase be placed on the Agenda as a consent item for informational purposes.

6/21/05

Motion It was moved by Ms. Murphy and seconded by Mrs. Ramos that "the following resolution be passed and adopted:"

905-1 RESOLUTION NO. 27,010:
Analysis of Fees A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
And Revenue AMENDING ARTICLE V, SECTION 1 OF RESOLUTION NO.
Collection 26,994, THE BURBANK FEE RESOLUTION, RELATING TO
Procedures for PARAMEDIC AMBULANCE SERVICES.
EMS

Adopted The resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos and
Vander Borght.
Noes: Council Members None.
Absent: Council Member Campbell.

Ordinance Submitted It was moved by Ms. Murphy and seconded by Mrs. Ramos that "Ordinance No. 3674 be read for the second time by title only and be passed and adopted." The title to the following ordinance was read:

202 ORDINANCE NO. 3674:
203 AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
Amend Ch. 2 AMENDING SEVERAL SECTIONS OF CHAPTER 2 OF THE
Relating to BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO MEMBERSHIP
Membership EXPANSION OF VARIOUS CITY BOARDS, COMMITTEES
Expansion on AND/OR COMMISSIONS AND ABSENCES AND VACANCIES
Various Boards DUE TO MILITARY SERVICE.

Adopted The ordinance was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos and
Vander Borght.
Noes: Council Members None.
Absent: Council Member Campbell.

Ordinance Submitted It was moved by Ms. Murphy and seconded by Mrs. Ramos that "Ordinance No. 3675 be read for the second time by title only and be passed and adopted." The title to the following ordinance was read:

411 ORDINANCE NO. 3675:
Natural Gas AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
Project Agmt. APPROVING ENTERING INTO THE NATURAL GAS PROJECT
 GAS SALES AGREEMENT (Project A).

Adopted The ordinance was adopted by the following vote:

 Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos and
 Vander Borght.
 Noes: Council Members None.
 Absent: Council Member Campbell.

9:55 P.M. The Redevelopment Agency meeting was reconvened at this
Reconvene time.
Redev. Agency Meeting

Final Open There was no response to the Mayor's invitation for speakers
Public Comment for the final open public comment period of oral
Period of Oral communications at this time.
Communications

301-2 There being no further business to come before the Council,
Memorial the meeting was adjourned at 9:55 p.m. in memory of Derek
Adjournment Hanway.

APPROVED SEPTEMBER 13, 2005

Mayor of the Council
of the City of Burbank