

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 1, 2002

A regular meeting of the Council of the City of Burbank was held in the Council Chamber of the City Hall, 275 East Olive Avenue, on the above date. The meeting was called to order at 5:33 p.m. by Mr. Laurell, Mayor.

CLOSED SESSION

Present- - - - Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos, Vander Borcht and Laurell.

Absent - - - - Council Members None.

Also Present - Mr. Ovrom, City Manager; Ms. Alvord, Assistant City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney; and, Mrs. Moioffer, Deputy City Clerk.

Oral Communications There was no response to the Mayor's invitation for oral communications on Closed Session matters at this time.

5:34 P.M. Recess The Council recessed at this time to the City Hall Basement Lunch Room/Conference Room to hold a Closed Session on the following:

Conference with Labor Negotiator:

Pursuant to Govt. Code §54957.6

**Name of the Agency Negotiator:** Management Services Director John/Nicoll

**Name of Organization Representing Employee:** Represented: Burbank City Employees Association, Burbank Management Association, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Burbank Firefighters Association, Burbank Firefighters Chief Officers Unit, and Burbank Police Officers Association; Unrepresented, and Appointed Officials.

Regular Meeting Reconvened in Council Chambers The regular meeting of the Council of the City of Burbank was reconvened at 6:36 p.m. by Mr. Laurell, Mayor.

Invocation The invocation was given by Reverend Tania Kleiman, Olive Branch Ministries.

Flag Salute The pledge of allegiance to the flag was led by Ms. Cohen, Acting Library Services Director.

ROLL CALL

Present- - - - Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos, Vander Borcht and Laurell.

Absent - - - - Council Members None.

Also Present - Mr. Ovrom, City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney; and, Mrs. Campos, City Clerk.

- 301-1  
Public Power  
Week  
Mayor Laurell presented a proclamation in honor of Public Power Week to Robert Olson, Burbank Water and Power Board Chairperson.
- 301-1  
Junior Golf  
Recognition  
Mayor Laurell introduced Scott Scozzola and John Meyer, representing DeBell Golf Course, who described the Junior Golf Program and summarized the events of the tournament. Mayor Laurell presented Certificates of Recognition to the following tournament winners: Robert Lantry, Jordan Schulze and Ryan Slater (age 10 and under group); Stuart Lantry, Kevin Hunter and Austin Radaker (age 11 and over group); First Annual Championship, Boys Division, winners Jeff Looby (age 15 to 17 group), Dylan Murphy (age 11 and under age group), Michael Ingram (age 12 to 14 group); and, in the Girls Division, Kristin Ingram.
- Council  
Comments  
Mayor Laurell announced that Governor Davis had signed AB1866, which is a preemption of local authority over second-dwelling units and density bonuses. Mr. Barlow clarified that the City's IDCO prohibiting second dwelling units in R-1 Zones will be affected by this bill as the new legislation mandates that the City provide for second dwelling units ministerially. Mayor Laurell referenced an article which appeared in the Daily News on September 25, 2002 regarding a flight planned between Burbank and New York by D.B. Air Limited, an air charter company based in New England, but after follow-up phone calls he has learned that neither Airport staff nor Mercury Air Center had been contacted by this operator, adding that Airport staff routinely receives inquiries from charter companies and due to restrictions in place such inquiries rarely result in follow-up contact.
- 6:56 P.M.  
Hearing  
1702  
406  
Extension of  
IDCO for Airport  
Projects  
Mayor Laurell stated that "this is the time and place for the hearing on the consideration of an extension of an Interim Development Control Ordinance (IDCO) which temporarily limits the issuance of any permit or approval for certain development projects related to the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport."
- Notice  
Given  
The City Clerk was asked if notices had been given as required by law. She replied in the affirmative and advised that the City Clerk's office was in receipt of correspondence from the law firm of Richards/Watson/Gershon, special counsel to the Airport Authority, in opposition to the extension of the IDCO.

Staff  
Report

Mr. Forbes, Associate Planner, stated that on August 20, 2002, Council adopted an IDCO which temporarily suspends issuance of development permits and related final approvals for projects on property that is owned or leased by the Airport Authority or zoned for Airport use and would require only ministerial approval from the City, adding that the IDCO would expire on October 4, 2002, unless extended by the Council, who may extend the IDCO for up to an additional 22 months and 15 days. He explained that the purpose of the IDCO was to provide adequate time to consider and adopt amendments to the General Plan and zoning ordinance for the Airport and surrounding area, and that while staff was proceeding with the rezoning effort, the work cannot be completed by October 4, 2002. He added that the rezoning process would require the preparation of an environmental document pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and is expected to include a public outreach program, both of which will require several months to complete. Mr. Forbes noted that staff will be returning to the Council in the near future with a report detailing how staff proposes to integrate the rezoning process with the overall Airport outreach plan.

Next, Mr. Forbes noted the following events concerning the Airport which emphasize the need to retain the IDCO: as a result of the Court's finding that Measure A is invalid, the IDCO is now the only check on Airport development projects that would require only ministerial approval from the City; the Community Development Director, City Attorney and City Manager determined on September 18, 2002, that the Airport Authority's application for building permits to add square footage to the existing air passenger terminal was exempt from the IDCO because it was directly and substantially related to Airport security; and, the Airport Authority has indicated to staff that the Authority will be applying for additional building permits to perform interior improvements to Terminal A and that the Authority or the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) may apply for permits for unspecified improvements to Terminal B. He stated that without the IDCO such applications would be approved so long as the plans were found to comply with applicable City codes, but that if the IDCO is extended, such applications would only be approved if they qualify for exemption from the IDCO.

Mr. Forbes stated staff finds that the prospect of continued Airport development presents a threat to the health, safety and welfare of the community and, accordingly believes that the IDCO is an appropriate method of limiting Airport development that would be potentially inconsistent with the amended General Plan and zoning ordinance and recommended extension of the IDCO for the maximum permissible time of 22

months and 15 days.

Citizen Comment	<p>Appearing to comment were Kevin Ennis, partner in the law firm of Richards/Watson/Gershon, stating he wished to ensure the letter submitted by his firm was made a part of the record, and that he was available to answer any questions with regard to the letter; R. C. "Chappy" Czapiewski, in opposition to the extension of the IDCO, and inquiring the reason for the IDCO if the Council is forced to approve the mandates of the federal government; Irma Loose, commenting on her belief that the purpose of the extension is to alter City codes without a public hearing, and comparing the actions of City officials with those of terrorists; David Piroli, commenting on the letter submitted by Mr. Ennis, in support of the extension of the IDCO, inquiring as to the status of the Airport zoning and master plan and urging the completion of both; Mike Nolan, summarizing the history of the Airport Joint Powers Agreement, Measure A petition, and court decision which declared Measure A invalid, on City staff being forced to red tag construction at the Airport which began without permits, on the power granted to the City by the Public Utilities Commission, and on staff recommendations being erroneous; Howard Rothenbach, in support of the extension of the IDCO, and requesting declaratory relief of Measure B; and Mark Barton, urging the Council to use their better judgement.</p>
Hearing Closed	<p>There being no further response to the Mayor's invitation for oral comment, the hearing was declared closed.</p>
Motion	<p>It was moved by Mrs. Ramos and seconded by Mr. Vander Borght that "the following urgency ordinance be introduced and adopted:"</p>
1702 406 Extension of IDCO for Airport Projects	<p><u>ORDINANCE NO. 3606:</u> AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK EXTENDING AN INTERIM DEVELOPMENT CONTROL ORDINANCE WHICH TEMPORARILY LIMITS THE ISSUANCE OF ANY PERMIT OR APPROVAL FOR CERTAIN DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS RELATED TO THE BURBANK-GLENDALE-PASADENA AIRPORT.</p>
Adopted	<p>The ordinance was adopted by the following vote:</p> <p>Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos, Vander Borght and Laurell. Noes: Council Members None. Absent: Council Members None.</p>

10/1/02

7:33 P.M. Recess	The Council recessed to permit the Redevelopment Agency and Housing Authority to hold a joint public hearing. The meeting reconvened at 7:46 p.m. with all members present.
Reporting on Closed Session	Mr. Barlow reported on the items considered by the City Council and Redevelopment Agency during the Closed Session meetings.
First Period of Oral Communications	Mr. Laurell called for speakers for the first period of oral communications at this time.
Citizen Comment	Appearing to comment were Marge Lauerman, representing Chapter 26 of the Retired Public Employees Association, submitting a petition requesting an increase of the City's contribution towards retiree medical costs; R. C. "Chappy" Czapiewski, stating he has not received a response to his Public Records Act request of September 17, 2002; C. L. Stack, commending the members of the PERC and urging the Council to accept some of their recommendations; Esther Espinoza, on the lack of minority Department Managers; Howard Rothenbach, requesting the Council pull the item regarding the Los Angeles Interagency Metropolitan Police Apprehension Crime Task Force from the consent agenda, stating he refused to be the intervenor for Measure A litigation because the Council did not approve funding the appeal, and suggesting that the balance of the funds left in the intervenor account be used to fund the appeal; and, Mark Barton, stating that artists' reputations are not always based on their expertise and knowledge in design, and urging the Council to take that into consideration when making decisions on the purchase of Art in Public Places pieces.
Staff Response	Members of the Council and staff responded to questions raised.
Second Period of Oral Communications	Mr. Laurell called for speakers for the second period of oral communications at this time.
Citizen Comment	Appearing to comment were Dennis Winston, attorney for Mike Nolan, Intervenor on the Measure A case, stating that the

people are entitled to a full review of Measure A, and citing from several court cases ruling on initiatives which he believes overturn the judge's ruling in the Measure A case; Barbara Blinderman, business partner of Mr. Winston, stating that the City is setting itself up as an adversary of the voters, and urging the Council to fund the appeal; R. C. "Chappy" Czapiewski, on the anniversary of the Council voting in closed session to proceed with the Measure A Declaratory Relief lawsuit, that he requested records of the amount spent to litigate Measure A, and commending the PERC members; C. L. Stack, recognizing that half of the PERC members were present in the Council Chamber, and requesting that the Council fund the Measure A appeal; Irma Loose, stating that the PERC was a farce, that the IDCO was implemented in a closed session, on the amount of funds paid to cover the costs of PERC meetings, including food and beverages, urging the Council to fund the appeal and to initiate a declaratory relief action against Measure B; Mark Friedman, stating much information was disseminated during the PERC meetings, that there is nothing new in the PERC report that has not come forth before, recommending the Council implement PERC's recommendations, and urging the Council to fund the Measure A appeal; Bob Etter, stating not enough time was devoted to the issue of pollution at the Airport, and disagreeing with testimony given by the pollution expert; Esther Espinoza, referring to the PERC report, and inquiring as to the ethnicity of the PERC members; Michael Bergfeld, stating an appeal can be funded for \$25,000 and the Council can contract for that specific sum, and that a lot of community anger can be dissipated by funding the Measure A appeal process; David Piroli, noting past practice in litigation matters, that the City is risking losing the ability to pray before a Council meeting by appealing the Rubin case, and urging the Council to fund the appeal for Measure A; Mike Nolan, on his positive experience as the intervenor and the support he has received from the community, referring to litigation which has been appealed in the past by the City, on not being able to rely on Measure B for protection, and stating the people of Burbank deserve to get an answer regarding Measure A through the appeal process; Ron Vanderford, commending the PERC members, stating all the cases the City has won have been won on appeal, that Measure B does not protect the residents as evidenced by the expansion of the Airport, on the City funding the prayer case and not being willing to appeal the Measure A case; Robert Coogan, extending appreciation for a City Hall volunteer; and Howard Rothenbach, commending the PERC members and reading excerpts from the PERC Report.

Staff

Members of the Council and staff responded to questions

10/1/02

Response raised.

8:49 P.M. Recess The Council recessed to permit the Redevelopment Agency and Housing Authority to hold their meetings. The Council reconvened at 8:51 p.m. with all members present.

Motion It was moved by Ms. Murphy and seconded by Mr. Golonski that "the following items on the consent calendar be approved as recommended."

Minutes Approved The minutes for the regular meeting of August 20, 2002 and an adjourned meeting of August 20, 2002 were approved as submitted.

1208  
1102  
Amend  
Regulatory  
Agmt. with City,  
Redev. Agency,  
And BHC for  
West Elmwood

RESOLUTION NO. 26,334:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK APPROVING AN AMENDED AND RESTATED REGULATORY AGREEMENT AMENDING THE REGULATORY AGREEMENTS AMONG THE CITY OF BURBANK, THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF BURBANK, AND BURBANK HOUSING CORPORATION GOVERNING PROPERTIES ON WEST ELMWOOD AVENUE IN THE CITY OF BURBANK.

907  
MOU with LA  
IMPACT for  
Terrorism

RESOLUTION NO. 26,335:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK APPROVING AN AMENDED AND RESTATED MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AUTHORIZING L.A. IMPACT TO TARGET, INVESTIGATE AND PROSECUTE INDIVIDUALS WHO ENGAGE IN TERRORISM.

1007-1  
1009-1  
Establish Title of  
Power Supply  
Scheduler

RESOLUTION NO. 26,336:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK ESTABLISHING THE TITLE AND CLASSIFICATION OF POWER SUPPLY SCHEDULER (CTC NO. 0612) AND PRESCRIBING CLASSIFICATION CODE NUMBER, SALARY AND SPECIFICATION THEREOF.

Adopted The consent calendar was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos, Vander Borght and Laurell.

Noes: Council Members None.

Absent: Council Members None.

406  
Cost of Mr. Barlow summarized the history of the Declaratory Relief action as follows: the City filed a declaratory relief action

- Intervention in Measure A against the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena-Airport to resolve clarification on the legality of Measure A; the Airport defaulted in the case and the Council was concerned that the views of the supporters of Measure A would not be adequately represented in the litigation; the proponents were approached but declined to participate; the Council made a public offer that it would fund the legal fees of an intervenor who would adequately argue the legality of Measure A in the litigation; discussions with Mr. Ted McConkey were not successful because Mr. McConkey refused to participate if the Council did not agree to fund any possible appeal; and, finally, Mr. Nolan came before the Council and agreed he would serve as the intervenor, with the restriction on appeals. Mr. Barlow noted the Council had authorized \$100,000 for legal fees for the intervenor, and that approximately \$75,000 of that amount had been expended, leaving a balance of approximately \$25,000. He stated the two questions before the Council are whether to authorize the expenditure of funds for an appeal, and whether to authorize payment of the \$97.50 billed for legal advice with regard to an appeal. Mr. Barlow recommended the payment of \$97.50, but recommended against funding the appeal.
- Motion Following Council discussion, it was moved by Mr. Golonski and seconded by Mrs. Ramos that "the Council authorize the City Attorney's office to pay the \$97.50 to Mr. Winston's law firm, and that the Council deny the request to fund the appeal of the Measure A lawsuit."
- Carried The motion carried by the following vote:  
 Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Ramos, and Laurell.  
 Noes: Council Members Murphy and Vander Borgh.  
 Absent: Council Members None.
- 9:38 P.M. Recess The Council recessed at this time. The meeting reconvened at 9:54 p.m. with all members present.
- 9:54 P.M. Recess The Council recessed to permit the Redevelopment Agency to hold their meeting. The meeting reconvened at 9:58 p.m. with all members present.
- 202-10 Youth Board Appts. A report was received from Mrs. Stein, Deputy Director, Park, Recreation and Community Services, recommending approval of the school recommendations for five one-year mid-term



10/1/02

appointments and appointment of six at-large members for two-year terms to the Youth Board, noting receipt of eight applications for the six at-large vacancies.

Motion It was moved by Mr. Golonski and seconded by Mrs. Ramos that "the Council approve the school recommendations for five one-year mid-term appointments and appoint all eight applicants for the at-large vacancies to the Youth Board."

Carried The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos, Vander Borcht and Laurell.

Noes: Council Members None.

Absent: Council Members None.

406  
PERC  
Presentation of  
Final Report

Mr. Young, Administrative Assistant, referenced Mayor Laurell's statement during the June 11, 2002, Council meeting wherein the Mayor made a public statement about the Council's desire to initiate a broad-based public dialog regarding developing a locally-acceptable resolution to the issues surrounding the Burbank Airport and directed staff to develop a public outreach program. He noted the outreach plan consisted of a variety of public engagement tracks, including the Plan Evaluation and Review Committee (PERC), consisting of sixteen members representing a cross-section of the community. He summarized that the PERC met on sixteen separate occasions and the meetings were facilitated by Moore Iacofano Goltzman, Inc. (MIG). He reported that at their final meeting of September 12, 2002, the PERC unanimously approved a report outlining their key findings and recommendations, and introduced the members of the subcommittee who will be presenting the report: Elisabeth Handler, Michael Bergfeld and Lee Dunayer. He recommended that the Council thank the PERC members for their valuable service and direct staff to review the completed PERC report and bring back to the Council those provisions in the report which are applicable to staff's efforts to preparing zoning and other development standards for properties currently under the Airport IDCO, and further that the Council utilize the report in all future deliberations with the Airport Authority and the cities of Glendale and Pasadena as potential options are developed both for the operation of the existing and any replacement terminal.

Mr. Bergfeld provided a summary of the findings and recommendations of the PERC, discussing the composition of the committee, their mission, the number, location and

duration of meetings. He stated testimony was received from Airport staff, Airport Authority, citizens, representatives of the AQMD, SCAG, members of the Burbank, Glendale, Pasadena and Los Angeles City Councils, experts in the areas of air pollution, traffic management, noise and legal issues. He noted the findings were turned over to a subcommittee of six members who drafted the final report, that the process enabled the PERC to conclude there were a number of widely-held assumptions and beliefs that were inaccurate or incomplete, which resulted in an effort to alleviate such misconceptions. Mr. Bergfeld traced the history of the Airport and issues surrounding the Airport from its inception in 1930 to the present time, referencing among other things limitations in the Joint Powers Agreement which prohibit moving or lengthening the runways, a prohibition against the Airport Authority purchasing residential property to remove objections to noise problems or to address safety issues, and discussions on the provisions of the 1990 Airport Noise and Capacity Act (ANCA), the B-6 Property, and Measures B and A.

Ms. Handler explained that the PERC's findings were divided into four groups: an analysis of the problems caused by the airport; educated guesses about the future; Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) issues; and, other airports' success in limiting negative impacts on their communities. With regard to the problems caused by the airport, Ms. Handler stated nighttime noise was the most frequent complaint, that cargo flights were the most frequent curfew violators since their operations are exempt from the Airport's current voluntary curfew, that very few Stage 2 aircraft use the Airport, that pollution from aircraft is not subject to local, state or national air quality or environmental standards, and that Airport-related traffic on Burbank streets can be mitigated, but the results may not be satisfactory.

Next, Ms. Handler discussed the PERC's findings with regard to FAA issues as follows: the FAA's purpose is to support the national air transit system; a Part 161 Study is needed in order to obtain FAA approval on restraints on flight operations, including a cap on flights, cap on passengers and a noise budget, and restrictions must apply equally to all aircraft; and, that the current Part 161 Study is for a curfew and may be completed towards the end of 2003, at which point the FAA will begin its deliberations. She noted that neither the Airport Authority nor the FAA have ruled the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport terminal building unsafe, and that the "avigation easements" required of homeowners in the residential soundproofing program in Burbank are more stringent and burdensome than those in other airport communities.

Ms. Handler discussed items relative to solutions implemented by other airports, noting that no airport has ever completed a Part 161 Study for a curfew and received FAA approval, that the Naples, Florida Airport's restriction applies only to Stage 2 aircraft, that the restrictions at John Wayne Airport were "grandfathered" in under the 1990 ANCA, and that the nighttime curfew at Van Nuys Airport applies only to Stage 2 aircraft. She further discussed that the existing Airport terminal is functional and has the capacity to handle additional flight operations, and while the existing terminal has some restrictions on growth, without restraints a replacement terminal will see faster growth than would the existing terminal. She added that Ameriflight, who carries primarily financial instruments, is responsible for approximately 18 flights Monday through Thursday nights, and that cargo and general aviation don't use the terminal. Ms. Handler concluded that with or without a replacement terminal, the Airport will experience growth in operations, and that no single restraint, such as curfews, caps on operations or passengers, or noise budgets will make either the current or a replacement terminal less of a problem for Burbank residents.

Lee Dunayer presented the PERC's recommendations, which were categorized into restraints that depend on FAA approval, things Burbank can do without the FAA's involvement, mitigation measures if or when a new terminal is built and an out-of-the-box idea. With regard to FAA-dependent restrictions, he stated a curfew from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. was most important, followed by obtaining a noise budget, and that the least likely restriction to be granted was a cap on passengers or flights. His discussion on FAA-dependent restrictions included: that before the City approves the B-6 Property for a replacement terminal, at least a curfew must be approved by the FAA; that the City should formally seek to get the Airport's existing voluntary restrictions "grandfathered" under the 1990 ANCA, although this action was pursued previously to no avail. With regard to non FAA-dependent restrictions, the PERC's recommendations included modification of the JPA to restrict the number of gates to 14 forever, and to increase Burbank's participation on the Commission; and, that the Authority should revise their aviation easements to be less restrictive and more clear for Burbank homeowners who choose to participate in the residential home insulation program.

Next, Mr. Dunayer discussed the PERC's recommendations with regard to the potential construction of a new terminal, which included that the City should not approve a replacement terminal with more than 14 gates, that Burbank voters must approve any replacement terminal, that the Authority

accommodate and fund mass transit access and traffic mitigations, that the City should establish tolerable thresholds with regard to number of flights/passengers and levels of noise, and that the authority must initiate a Part 161 Study for additional restraints if the Airport exceeds the thresholds of flight/passenger operations or levels of noise, pollution or traffic. In conclusion, he stated the City could take a leadership role in developing a major regional passenger, cargo and general aviation airport in Palmdale, noting that rapid transit is the key to the success of such a location.

In response to the Mayor's invitation for PERC members to make additional comments, Mike Napolitano referenced page 9 of the PERC report, noting a minority position regarding a 14-gate terminal, and Mr. Magid acknowledged the Public Information Office's efforts and requested that the PERC report be made available to the public at the libraries and on the web.

Mr. Barlow suggested that the service of the PERC members be concluded via a motion to thank and dissolve the PERC.

Motion It was moved by Ms. Murphy and seconded by Mr. Vander Borght that "the Council thank the members of the PERC for their service and disband the PERC committee."

Carried The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos, Vander Borght and Laurell.  
 Noes: Council Members None.  
 Absent: Council Members None.

Mr. Golonski suggested that a study session be scheduled where a dialog can be undertaken with the PERC members that includes putting together the "package", continued outreach, how to build congressional support, and create an action plan that incorporates the recommendations of the PERC.

Mr. Ovrom stated that staff is returning to the Council on October 15 with an outreach plan and Mr. Golonski's suggestions can be incorporated.

Motion It was moved by Ms. Murphy and seconded by Mr. Golonski

10/1/02

that "this item be returned to Council for consideration at the October 15, 2002 Council meeting."

Carried

The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Murphy, Ramos, Vander  
Borgh and Laurell.  
Noes: Council Members None.  
Absent: Council Members None.

11:33 P.M.  
Reconvene  
Redev. Agency  
and Housing  
Authority  
Meetings

The Redevelopment Agency and Housing Authority meetings was reconvened at this time.

Third Period of  
Oral  
Communication

Mr. Laurell called for speakers for the third period of oral communications at this time.

Citizen  
Comment

Appearing to comment were Irma Loose, disagreeing with the Council's decision to appeal the prayer lawsuit; Mark Friedman, stating things at the Airport move rapidly and urging the Council to keep the issue in the forefront, on the 72-hour parking restrictions for recreational vehicles on public streets recently passed by the Glendale City Council, and suggesting the Burbank Council implement similar restrictions; Bob Etter, stating that recreational vehicles do not have commercial license plates, in disagreement with the City choosing December 7, Pearl Harbor Day, as the day to celebrate the opening of the new Buena Vista Library; Kevin Muldoon, thanking the PERC members for their service, suggesting the airlines be pressured to build in Palmdale, and suggesting people address their concerns at SCAG meetings; Eden Rosen, commending PERC for the service, in support of non-sectarian invocations, requesting the status of the Blue Ribbon Committee on affordable housing; and Mike Nolan, in opposition to the Mayor's comments about the Measure A authors refusing to serve as the intervenors in the Declaratory Relief lawsuit.

Staff  
Response

Members of the Council and staff responded to questions raised.

301-2                    There being no further business to come before the Council,  
Memorial                the meeting was adjourned at 11:54 p.m. in memory of  
Adjournment            Marcella Cutter.

Margarita Campos, City Clerk

APPROVED OCTOBER 29, 2002

---

Mayor of the Council  
of the City of Burbank