

TUESDAY, JUNE 12, 2001

A regular meeting of the Council of the City of Burbank was held at the Fire Training Center, 1845 North Ontario Street, on the above date. The meeting was called to order at 5:01 p.m. by Mr. Kramer, Mayor.

CLOSED SESSION

Present- - - - Council Members Golonski, Laurell, Murphy, and Kramer.  
Absent - - - - Council Member Ramos.  
Also Present - Mr. Ovrom, City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney; and, Mrs. Cabil, Municipal Records Clerk.

Oral Communications There was no response to the Mayor's invitation for oral communications on Closed Session matters at this time.

5:02 P.M. Recess The Council recessed at this time to the Fire Training Center Conference Room to hold a Closed Session on the following:

- a. Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation:  
Pursuant to Govt. Code §54956.9(a)  
**Name of Case:** In the matter of the application of Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority – Administrative (Variance) Hearing conducted by Cal Trans.  
**Case No.:** OAH No. L-9701269  
**Brief description and nature of case:** Administrative review of Airport noise variance standards.
- b. Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation (City as possible plaintiff):  
Pursuant to Govt. Code §54956.9(c)  
**Number of potential case(s):** 1
- c. Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation (City as potential defendant):  
Pursuant to Govt. Code §54956.9(b)(1)  
**Number of potential case(s):** 2

Regular Meeting Reconvened in Fire Training Center The regular meeting of the Council of the City of Burbank was reconvened at 6:31 p.m. by Mr. Kramer, Mayor.

Invocation The invocation was given by Dr. Jerry Jones, Burbank Community Church.

Flag Salute The pledge of allegiance to the flag was led by Burbank Police Officer Joe Dean.

## ROLL CALL

Present- - - - Council Members Golonski (arrived at 6:44 p.m.), Laurell, Murphy (arrived at 6:44 p.m.), Ramos and Kramer.

Absent - - - - Council Members None.

Also Present - Mr. Ovrom, City Manager; Mr. Barlow, City Attorney; Ms. Alvord, Assistant City Manager; and, Mrs. Sarquiz, City Clerk.

301-1  
Flag Day

Mayor Kramer presented a proclamation to Sam Engel, Chairman of Burbank Kiwanis Club's Pause for the Pledge of Allegiance Committee and Craig Adams, 1<sup>st</sup> Vice President of Burbank Kiwanis Club in honor of National Flag Day to be celebrated on June 14, 2001.

301-1  
National Law  
Enforcement  
Memorial

Police Chief Hoefel introduced the following Burbank Officers (Burbank Honor Guard) who participated in the National Law Enforcement Memorial tribute in Washington D.C. in May 2001; Officer Joe Dean, Lieutenant Kevin Krafft, Officer Adam Adler, Sergeant Robert Quesada, and Officer Jeffrey Goenner. Officer Joe Dean presented a National Law Enforcement flag to the Mayor and Chief Hoefel and then a plaque of appreciation to Police Chief Hoefel and Officer Darrin Ryburn, Burbank Police Officers Association President, for their support in sending the Honor Guard to Washington D.C. Then Lieutenant Krafft, on behalf of the Honor Guard, presented a picture of the Honor Guard to Chief Hoefel. Chief Hoefel presented a Professional Esteem Award to Officer Dean for his work in establishing the Memorial. Lastly, a video of the Ceremony was played for the Council and public.

Additional  
Agenda Item

It was moved by Mr. Kramer, seconded by Mrs. Murphy and carried that "an additional item regarding video taping the Airport Part 161 meeting on June 18, 2001 be added to the agenda stating that there is a need to take immediate action and that the need for action came to the attention of the City subsequent to the agenda being posted."

7:11 P.M.  
Jt. Hearing w/  
Redev. Agency,  
Housing  
Authority,  
Parking  
Authority and  
YES  
801-2

Mayor Kramer stated that "this is the time and place for "the hearing on the proposed budget for the fiscal year 2001-2002 for the City, Housing Authority, Redevelopment Agency, Parking Authority, and Youth Endowment Services Fund budgets."

6/12/01

804-2  
FY 2001-02  
Annual Budget

Notice  
Given

The City Clerk was asked if notices had been given as required by law. She replied in the affirmative and advised that no written communications had been received.

Staff  
Report

Mr. Hanway, Financial Services Director, reported that the purpose of this public hearing is to obtain public input on the Fiscal Year (FY) 2001-02 proposed Budget, FY 2001-02 proposed Citywide Fee Schedule, and FY 2001-02 proposed Appropriations Limit.

He began by stating that the total source of funds for FY 2001-02 are \$586,179,956, with total appropriations of \$579,449,928. He then summarized the following General Fund budget highlights: personnel costs associated with negotiated Memorandum of Understandings; materials supplies and services (MS&S) increased by one percent to reflect anticipated rate of inflation; new internal service fund rates; new positions requested by departments totaling \$1,313,228; additional MS&S increases totaling \$330,990; and, capital outlay expenses totaling \$860,768.

Next, Mr. Hanway said that staff was recommending Council funding of the following recurring General Fund positions, programs and/or events which together total \$128,653: Citywide newsletter - \$40,000; Fire Captain upgrade - \$32,125; Senior Assistant City Attorney upgrade - \$13,565; Tournament of Roses additional funding - \$12,450; League of California Cities Grassroots Network - \$11,094; employee morale fund increase - \$9,919; and, Media Center Kid's Spirit Fun Run - \$9,500. He then noted the following recommended General Fund non-recurring items: Youth Solution Summit - \$50,000; and, Disaster Preparedness Program - \$33,632. He said that approval of these items would leave a projected \$144,341 in non-recurring funds available for FY 2001-02.

At this point he discussed that the purpose of the proposed Citywide Fee Schedule is to provide a one-stop listing of all City fees, charges and rates. He said that the Fee Schedule is reviewed and updated annually as part of the budget process in an effort to document all of the fees that have been revised, changed or added during the previous year. He then noted that the permit parking fees were proposed to be

increased to \$6 per permit.

Lastly, Mr. Hanway explained that staff was required by State law to establish an appropriation limit each fiscal year. He said that only those revenues received from proceeds of taxes were subject to this limit. He then said that the City's FY 2001-02 appropriation limit is estimated to be \$98,919,348 with the actual amount of the appropriations contained in the budget that is subject to the limit being \$78,880,887. He noted that the difference between the City's appropriation limit and the amount subject to it is \$20,038,461. As a result, he said the City has a significant gap between its legal limit and the actual appropriations subject to the limit.

Hearing  
Closed                    There being no response to the Mayor's invitation for oral comment, the hearing was declared closed.

Motion  
Failed                    It was moved by Mr. Golonski, seconded by Mrs. Ramos and failed with Mr. Laurell, Mrs. Murphy and Mr. Kramer voting no that " the City not increase the permit parking fees from \$3 to \$6 as proposed in the Citywide Fee Schedule."

Motion                    It was moved by Mrs. Murphy, seconded by Mr. Laurell and carried with Mr. Golonski voting no that "the permit parking fee be increased from \$3 to \$4 in the proposed Citywide Fee Schedule."

Following discussion, staff was directed to return with a report that proposes increases in the dog impound fees.

7:43 P.M.  
Recess                    The Redevelopment Agency, Housing Authority, Parking Authority and Youth Endowment Services Fund Board meetings were recessed at this time to permit the City Council to continue its meeting.

7:43 P.M.  
Hearing  
1704-3  
CUP 99-34  
(260 E. Magnolia  
Blvd. – Gitana)                    Mayor Kramer stated that "this is the time and place for "the hearing on the Second Amendment and Restated Conditional Use Permit (CUP) No. 99-34. This item is for consideration of the permanent approval of Condition of Approval No. 5, allowing persons under the age of twenty-one (21) years in the sports bar and billiard area of the subject establishment, during designated hours and when accompanied by an adult twenty-five (25) years of age or older. Condition of Approval No. 6 of the first Amended and Restated CUP 99-34 requires the Council

6/12/01

Notice Given to conduct a hearing for a six month review of the CUP.”  
The City Clerk was asked if notices had been given as required by law. She replied in the affirmative and advised that no written communications had been received.

Staff Report Mr. Forbes, Associate Planner, reported that the applicant, ADRD, Inc., applied for a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) in 1999 to modify several conditions of approval of CUP No. 98-18. He noted that CUP No. 98-18 provided the operating standards for the Gitana restaurant/sports bar/billiards/nightclub establishment at 260 East Magnolia Boulevard.

He explained that pursuant to the original approval granted to Gitana under CUP No. 98-18, persons under 21 years of age were not allowed in the bar, billiards, or dance areas of the business. He said the applicant requested to modify this condition to allow persons under 21 in the sports bar and billiards areas of the establishment. He noted that the Planning Board denied this request in January 2000.

Mr. Forbes then discussed that the applicant appealed the denial to the City Council, which reversed the Planning Board’s decision and upheld the appeal. He said this decision permitted the applicant to allow persons under 21 years of age in the sports bar and billiards area prior to 9:00 p.m. when accompanied by an adult, 25 years or older, or by a parent or legal guardian. He noted that the Council approval of this request in June 2000 was for a six-month trial period only. In December 2000, he said the Council approved the request for an additional six-month trial period.

He explained that the Police Department conducted several on-site visits during the most recent six-month trial period to monitor activity at Gitana, and found no obvious problems. He noted that the Police Department stated that they would not actively recommend permanent approval of the requested modification, but believe there are not factual reasons to recommend against permanently allowing the applicant’s request. He noted that Planning staff agrees with this assessment, and recommends that the modification be permanently approved.

Applicant Richard Rosenthal, attorney representing the applicant, said that in light of the staff recommendation to approve his clients

request for permanent approval of the CUP, he has little to add but did ask the Council to grant the permanent approval of the CUP.

Citizen  
Comment

Appearing to comment were Robert Gangi, on behalf of the property owner, stating the type 46 liquor license at this establishment to allow minors in the sports/billiard area is currently allowed at the Elephant Bar and Fantasia Billiards, stating no problems have occurred during the two six-month trial periods and asking the Council to permanently approve the CUP; and Mike Nolan, opposing the request from the applicant due to the lack of activity at the establishment over the past six months to provide adequate information to the City as to whether or not the CUP should be approved or disapproved.

Applicant

Mr. Rosenthal said that there has been two six-month trial periods and as such noting the Police Department and City have adequate information to approve the request permanently.

Hearing  
Closed

There being no further response to the Mayor's invitation for oral comment, the hearing was declared closed.

Motion

It was moved by Mrs. Murphy and seconded by Mr. Laurell that "the following resolution be passed and adopted:"

1704-3  
CUP 99-34  
(260 E. Magnolia  
Blvd. – Gitana)

RESOLUTION NO. 26,022:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK APPROVING A SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 99-34 WHICH MODIFIES CERTAIN CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL OF CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 98-18 (260 EAST MAGNOLIA BOULEVARD, ADRD, INC., APPLICANT).

Adopted

The resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Laurell, Murphy, Ramos and Kramer.

Noes: Council Member Golonski.

Absent: Council Members None.

6/12/01

Reporting on  
Closed Session      Mr. Barlow reported on the items considered by the City Council and Redevelopment Agency during the Closed Session meetings.

Oral  
Communications      Mr. Kramer called for oral communications at this time.

Citizen  
Comment              Appearing to comment were Heidi and Jared, seniors at Burbank High School, stating that after much discussion and debate her government class have determined that the oral communication process at Council meetings has become disruptive, non-productive and inappropriate, on the importance of people participating but noting many of the speakers do not participate in a responsible manner, stating her class supports the recent changes to the Oral Communication period, and suggesting the elimination of snide and racist remarks at meetings so as to encourage more students to attend Council meetings and participate in the City government; Tom Jamentz, requesting the Council to approve the request from Burbank Water and Power (BWP) to purchase and install a new combustion turbine as it will give the City 50 megawatts of power and eliminate the need to retrofit current units to meet state and federal requirements; Don Elsmore, on concern with the Airport Authority using different pieces of property for parking, and announcing that there will be more Part 161 Study meetings on Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday; Irma Loose, playing a tape recording of statements made at a previous Council meeting regarding the oral communication process; Peggy Nudo, asking the Council to approve videotaping the Part 161 Study meetings, stating the Council should ask the citizens during oral communications to stick to the subject matter and suggesting that the Council provide speakers with three minutes to speak on any matter before Council action and one minute at the end of the meeting and asking that the entire community not be punished due to the behavior of a few people; Bill Orr, asking the Council to approve videotaping the Part 161 Study, suggesting the Council enforce the existing laws related to oral communications so that the entire community is not punished due to a few people who behave inappropriately, and on concern that the change in oral communications will impact the citizens to talk about the Restore Our Airport Rights (ROAR) initiative; Celeste Francis, opposing any change to the oral communication process but stating that if the Council does make changes, they have an option to extend the time

for any speaker at the Council's discretion; Ron Vanderford, stating the Council should enforce the current laws related to oral communications rather than making changes to the process; Bob Etter, stating it is difficult to address the City Council at the podium and opposing any change to the oral communication process; Molly Hyman, on the oral communication process at the City of Glendale Council meeting noting they strongly enforce the existing laws to restrict speech to the subject jurisdiction matter, and opposing any change to the oral communication process; Dr. Theresa Karam, asking the following procedural questions about the Police Department: when a detective files a false police report and it is used in a trial, what is the duty to report and to whom; when an assistant to the Chief of Police becomes aware of a false police report what is his duty to report and to whom; when another police officer assisting a prosecutor becomes aware of a false police report what is his duty to report and to whom; is it a crime to file a false police report; and, what obligation does a prosecutor have to discuss a false report with the City Attorney and should it be turned over to the District Attorney; and, opposing any change to the oral communication process; Stan Hyman, opposing any change to the oral communication process noting the Council should instead enforce the existing rules, asking whether the Council intends to have secret meetings with the City Council of Glendale regarding the Airport, and stating the parties should not reach an agreement on the Airport until a curfew is approved by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) through the Part 161 Study process; LaVerne Thomas, opposing any change to the oral communication process and noting her belief that one minute is not enough time for a person to adequately make their point, particularly those who have difficulty with public speaking; and Marie Paino, opposing any change to the oral communication process.

8:57 P.M.  
Recess

The Council recessed at this time. The meeting reconvened at 9:09 p.m. with all members present.

Citizen  
Comment  
Continued

David Piroli, opposing any change to the oral communication process particularly noting concern that one minute is not sufficient for a speaker to address the Council and punishes the entire community who do not abuse their right to speak; Robert Juarez, opposing any change to the oral communication process noting the change will punish the majority of people; Mark Barton, presenting a picture of the Chevron Station on Hollywood Way across from the Airport



6/12/01

noting his belief it is too close to the Airport, suggesting the Council enforce the rules regarding oral communications, and suggesting gravel be placed on the empty lots near the AMC so they can serve as temporary parking lots; Dr. David Gordon, suggesting the Council enforce the existing rules and not change the oral communication process; Kevin Muldoon, opposing any change to the oral communication process, and supporting the City videotaping the Part 161 Study; Esther Espinoza, opposing any change to the oral communication process; Howard Rothenbach, asking how it is that the people were able to vote on Measure B which he believes is an administrative act but can't do the same on the ROAR initiative, stating concern that former Council candidate Marco Gonzalez writes Esther Espinoza's speeches, and opposing any change to the oral communication process noting his belief it punishes the majority of the people; and Mike Nolan, on concern with the amount of time dedicated by the City Council on the budget and noting a problem he had obtaining information related to the budget, and opposing increases in sewer and utility rates.

Staff Response                      Members of the Council and staff responded to questions raised.

Motion                                      It was moved by Mrs. Murphy and seconded by Mr. Laurell that "the following items on the consent calendar be approved as recommended."

1205-2                                      RESOLUTION NO. 26,023:  
Summary                                      A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK  
Vacation of PUE                              ORDERING THE SUMMARY VACATION OF THE EASTERLY  
At 1331 N.                                      TWO AND ONE-HALF FEET OF A PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT  
Frederic St.                                      LOCATED AT 1331 NORTH FREDERIC STREET (V-333).  
(V-333)

1205-2                                      RESOLUTION NO. 26,024:  
Summary                                      A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK  
Vacation of PUE                              ORDERING THE SUMMARY VACATION OF A PUBLIC UTILITY  
At 221    EASEMENT LOCATED OVER LOTS 104 AND 116 OF TRACT  
Screenland Dr.                                      10141 (V-337).  
(V-337)

902    RESOLUTION NO. 26,025:  
1704-3    A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK  
1705    APPROVING THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT  
PSA w/PCR    BETWEEN THE CITY OF BURBANK AND PCR SERVICES

For Home Depot CORPORATION.  
1100 RESOLUTION NO. 26,026:  
406 A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK  
3<sup>rd</sup> Amend. to APPROVING THE THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE  
PSA with Rick PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY  
Pruetz OF BURBANK AND RICK PRUETZ FOR PLANNING  
CONSULTING SERVICES.

801-2 RESOLUTION NO. 26,027:  
907 A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK  
Narcotics AMENDING THE 2000-2001 ANNUAL BUDGET FOR THE  
Enforcement PURPOSE OF AUGMENTING FUNDING FOR THE BURBANK  
POLICE DEPARTMENT'S NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT  
EFFORTS.

Adopted The consent calendar was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Laurell, Murphy, Ramos  
and Kramer.  
Noes: Council Members None.  
Absent: Council Members None.

1503 Mr. Davis, Burbank Water and Power (BWP) General Manger,  
1301-3 stated that staff was presenting a request for the City Council  
801-2 to consider the adoption of a resolution authorizing BWP to  
New proceed with the emergency procurement and installation of a  
Combustion new GE LM-6000 simple cycle combustion turbine on the  
Turbine existing BWP headquarter's site. He then introduced Mr.  
Jeider, Principal Electrical Engineer, who he said would be  
presenting the subject request.

Mr. Jeider discussed that the current power crisis in California  
has been brought about by insufficient electrical generation  
within the State to meet its needs. He said the situation is  
compounded by a drought in the Pacific Northwest that has  
resulted in cutbacks of the amount of power normally  
available to California in this region.

To minimize the impact on BWP's ability to generate in the  
future, he said that BWP needs to take steps to decrease the  
emissions produced in the short term. He noted that this  
means either retrofitting the existing combustion turbines or  
replacing them as soon as possible on an emergency basis. He  
then said that following extensive research, BWP has found  
that there is no cost-effective way to improve the reliability of  
the existing combustion turbines.

6/12/01

Mr. Jeider discussed that there are several reasons why it is highly desirable for Burbank to have at least one combustion turbine on site. In the event that Burbank becomes isolated from the transmission grid, he said it would be necessary to have a generator to supply the power needed to start the existing steam units and the proposed Magnolia Power Project. He also said that a combustion turbine is ideally suited for the purpose of matching generation to load. He further said that a combustion turbine that can be started in a matter of minutes is ideally suited for supporting regional power supply requirements of BWP.

He then said that until significant new generation is built within the State by the end of 2003, there is a strong possibility that a new combustion turbine could make substantial operating margins to help pay for itself. He said that if a new combustion turbine is "fast-tracked" and placed in service by next summer, selling the output of the plant into the wholesale market produces sufficient margins to pay off a \$14 million loan in about three years supporting short-term financing.

Mr. Jeider explained that the new unit could be built at an installed cost of approximately \$35.3 million. To provide up-front funding, he said a short-term financial instrument could be used and paid off in about two years as follows: 1) One-half could be paid off by diverting the \$14 million that would have normally been budgeted by December 31, 2003 for the emissions retrofits, overhauls, and replacements on the old units; and, 2) The other half could be paid off using the \$14 million in anticipated wholesale profit margins over the next three years.

Lastly, he said that staff was recommending the following sources of funding to pay for the new combustion turbine: 10 year note of \$28 million which staff projects will be paid off in three years; \$6 million from the BWP Competitiveness Reserve obtained from incremental Utility Users Tax and In-Lieu Transfers; and, \$1.3 million from capital reserves during the 2001-02 Fiscal Year.

Motion

It was moved by Mrs. Murphy and seconded by Mr. Golonski that "the following resolution be passed and adopted:"

1503  
1301-3  
801-2  
New  
Combustion  
Turbine

RESOLUTION NO. 26,028:  
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK FINDING THAT AN EMERGENCY EXISTS AND IT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST TO DISPENSE WITH FORMAL BID PROCEDURES AND AUTHORIZE THE GENERAL MANAGER OF BURBANK WATER AND POWER TO PROCEED WITH THE PROCUREMENT, ENGINEERING, AND INSTALLATION OF A NEW COMBUSTION TURBINE AND AMEND THE 2000-2001 BUDGET FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Adopted                   The resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes:       Council Members Golonski, Laurell, Murphy, Ramos and Kramer.  
Noes:       Council Members None.  
Absent:     Council Members None.

201-1  
Oral  
Communications

Mr. Barlow reported that at the May 29, 2001 City Council meeting, the Council directed staff to prepare a resolution making the following changes in the provisions relating to Oral Communications at Council meetings: more strictly enforce the requirement that speakers speak only regarding matters within the subject matter jurisdiction of the City; for a trial period, add a new period of Oral Communications prior to what has previously been known as First Oral Communications that would not exceed one minute in length per speaker and allow speakers to speak about any matter within the subject matter jurisdiction of the City. Following this period of Oral Communications, would be the regular period of Oral Communications, but now limited to four minutes and limited to only those items on the agenda for that meeting. Then a final, or third period of Oral Communications, just before the adjournment, would remain at three minutes and would be open to any item within the subject matter jurisdiction of the City, but would be limited to only those speakers who did not speak in the new First Period of Oral Communications; and, in addition to the Mayor or any member of the Council raising the issues of speakers adhering to the correct subjects for the various periods of Oral Communications, the City Attorney also be authorized to interject when such an issue arises

He also said that the proposed resolution adds clarifying language regarding public hearings which sets forth in hearings with an Applicant and an Appellant, which party

6/12/01

speaks first and which speaks last, and has provided that both the Applicant and the Appellant have the opportunity for rebuttal.

Motion It was moved by Mr. Golonski and seconded by Mr. Laurell that "the following resolution be passed and adopted and that staff be directed to report back to the Council on alternatives to hold quarterly neighborhood town hall meetings:"

201-1 RESOLUTION NO. 26,029:  
Rules for the A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK  
Conduct of ADOPTING RULES FOR THE CONDUCT OF COUNCIL  
Council MEETINGS AND REPEALING RESOLUTIONS NOS. 25,794 AND  
Meetings 25,970.

Adopted The resolution and staff direction were adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Laurell, and Murphy.  
Noes: Council Members Ramos and Kramer.  
Absent: Council Members None.

Ordinance Submitted It was moved by Mrs. Murphy and seconded by Mr. Laurell that "Ordinance No. 3580 be read for the second time by title only and be passed and adopted." The title to the following ordinance was read:

1702 ORDINANCE NO. 3580:  
PD 2000-4 AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK  
(466 E. Olive) APPROVING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT NO. 2000-4 AND A  
DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT RELATED THERETO (466 EAST  
OLIVE AVENUE).

Adopted The ordinance was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Laurell, Murphy, Ramos and  
Kramer.  
Noes: Council Member Golonski.  
Absent: Council Members None.

Ordinance Submitted It was moved by Mrs. Murphy and seconded by Mr. Golonski that "Ordinance No. 3581 be read for the second time by title only and be passed and adopted." The title to the following ordinance was read:

1702  
ZMA 2000-1  
(1105 N. Valley  
St.)

ORDINANCE NO. 3581:  
AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK  
APPROVING ZONE MAP AMENDMENT NO. 2000-1. (PAUL  
AND CARLA GRACE, APPLICANTS).

Adopted

The ordinance was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members Golonski, Laurell, Murphy, Ramos  
and Kramer.  
Noes: Council Members None.  
Absent: Council Members None.

406  
102-3  
Videotaping  
Part 161 Study

The City Council took action earlier on the agenda to add an item to the agenda that would allow the Council to consider videotaping and broadcasting the Airport Authority Part 161 Study meeting to be held on Monday, June 18, 2001 at the Burbank Airport Hilton. The Council noted the importance of this information being provided to the public and the fact that the Airport Authority had no plans to videotape or cablecast the meetings. Mr. McManus, Public Information Officer, said that staff would be able to provide for both the videotaping and subsequent broadcasting of the meeting on Channel 6 and noted that the cost would be approximately between \$2,000 and \$3,000.

Motion

It was moved by Mrs. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Ramos and carried that "the Public Information Office be authorized to expend up to \$3,000 to tape the Airport Part 161 Study meeting and broadcast it on Channel 6."

11:00 P.M.  
Reconvene  
Redev. Agency,  
Housing Auth.,  
Parking Auth.,  
and YES Fund  
Advisory Board  
Meetings

The Redevelopment Agency, Housing Authority, Parking Authority, and Youth Endowment Services Fund Board meetings were reconvened at this time.

Public Comment

Mr. Kramer called for the second period of oral communications

6/12/01

at this time.

Citizen  
Comment

Appearing to comment were Irma Loose, on concern with statements made by Vice Mayor Laurell related to speakers during oral communications, on concern that the City did not seek reimbursement for legal fees from the Airport Authority, and stating the Council should have continued to support the Airport 21<sup>st</sup> Century Plan; Mark Barton, stating there was adequate lighting near the empty lot near the AMC and suggesting that the Council look into making it a temporary parking lot; Bob Etter, opposing the Council action to change the oral communication process and noting his belief the action was not for a trial period, and on false police reports; Robert Juarez, opposing the Council action to change the oral communication process and noting he will test this resolution; Howard Rothenbach, on concern with weeds growing on the empty lot near AMC, and opposing the Council action to change the oral communication process noting it is discriminatory to the disabled population that has to leave early; Esther Espinoza, on concern with affordable housing and gang activity; Celeste Francis, on concern with the Council action to change the oral communication process; David Piroli, stating oral communications should be interpreted liberally and stating the Council represents all citizens; and Mike Nolan, on concern with information he did not receive related to the budget and on concern with statements made by financial staff regarding budgetary projections.

Staff  
Response

Members of the Council and staff responded to questions raised.

301-2  
Memorial  
Adjournment

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned at 11:28 p.m. in memory of Orville Herbert Pease.

Judie Sarquiz, City Clerk

APPROVED JULY 31, 2001

---

Mayor of the Council  
of the City of Burbank